## Exhibit 35

	98B6DIP Plea	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
2	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
3	v.	00 CD 764 (DTC)
4		09 CR 764 (RJS)
5	FRANK DIPASCALI,	•
6	Defendant.	
7		New York, N.Y. August 11, 2009
8		3:15 p.m.
9	Before:	
10	HON. RICHARD J.	QIII.I.TVAN
11	MON. REGIMAD U.	
12		District Judge
13	APPEARANG	CES
14	LEV L. DASSIN	· 
14 15	United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York	e
	United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York MARC LITT LISA BARONI	
15	United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York MARC LITT LISA BARONI Assistant United States Attorn	
15 16	United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York MARC LITT LISA BARONI Assistant United States Attorn BRACEWELL & GIULIANI Attorneys for Defendant	
15 16 17	United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York MARC LITT LISA BARONI Assistant United States Attorn BRACEWELL & GIULIANI Attorneys for Defendant MARC L. MUKASEY CRAIG S. WARKOL	
15 16 17 18	United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York MARC LITT LISA BARONI Assistant United States Attorn BRACEWELL & GIULIANI Attorneys for Defendant MARC L. MUKASEY	
15 16 17 18	United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York MARC LITT LISA BARONI Assistant United States Attorn BRACEWELL & GIULIANI Attorneys for Defendant MARC L. MUKASEY CRAIG S. WARKOL JAMIE RENNER	
15 16 17 18 19	United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York MARC LITT LISA BARONI Assistant United States Attorn  BRACEWELL & GIULIANI Attorneys for Defendant MARC L. MUKASEY CRAIG S. WARKOL JAMIE RENNER DANIEL S. CONNOLLY  Also Present:  Special Agent Keith D. Kelly	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York MARC LITT LISA BARONI Assistant United States Attorn  BRACEWELL & GIULIANI Attorneys for Defendant MARC L. MUKASEY CRAIG S. WARKOL JAMIE RENNER DANIEL S. CONNOLLY  Also Present:  Special Agent Keith D. Kelly Special Agent Julia Hanish Special Agent Steven Garfinkel	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York MARC LITT LISA BARONI Assistant United States Attorn  BRACEWELL & GIULIANI Attorneys for Defendant MARC L. MUKASEY CRAIG S. WARKOL JAMIE RENNER DANIEL S. CONNOLLY  Also Present:  Special Agent Keith D. Kelly Special Agent Julia Hanish	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York MARC LITT LISA BARONI Assistant United States Attorn  BRACEWELL & GIULIANI Attorneys for Defendant MARC L. MUKASEY CRAIG S. WARKOL JAMIE RENNER DANIEL S. CONNOLLY  Also Present:  Special Agent Keith D. Kelly Special Agent Julia Hanish Special Agent Steven Garfinkel	

Plea

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

THE DEPUTY CLERK: All parties can state their

appearances for the record, please.

(In open court; case called)

MR. LITT: Good afternoon, your Honor. Marc Litt for the United States. With me at counsel table is Lisa Baroni, Keith Kelley of the FBI, Julia Hanish, and Steven Garfinkel of the FBI, and Natasha Ramesar of the U.S. Pretrial Services Office.

THE COURT: Good afternoon to each of you.

For the defense.

MR. MUKASEY: Good afternoon, your Honor. Marc Mukasey from the law firm Bracewell & Giuliani for the defendant Frank DiPascali, who is seated to my left. With me are Dan Connolly, Craig Warkol, and Jamie Renner.

THE COURT: Good afternoon to each you and to Mr. DiPascali.

Let me just get a little bit of background so it is clear since this is the first appearance of anyone on this case. On Friday I received a letter from the government, Mr. Litt and Ms. Baroni, advising me that the defendant, Mr. DiPascali, was prepared to waive the indictment and plead guilty pursuant to an information in this case.

The government also included a notice of intent to file an information as opposed to an indictment, as well as a motion pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3771

1.0

Plea

regarding the right of victims. The government also provided a disclosure statement setting forth a list of potential victims of the criminal activity alleged in the case. This statement contained a 61-page, single-spaced list of victims which the government conceded was not an exhausted or complete list but was a list that they had been able to put together over the course of the investigation.

Mr. Litt, so far so good?

MR. LITT: Yes. I believe it is only institutional victims, corporate parties.

THE COURT: That's right. 61 pages of institutional victims.

In light of this fact that this case has not been assigned a docket number, it will not receive a docket number today after the defendant formerly waives indictment and pleads here in open court.

The government requested that I issue an order directing that its letter of August 7th be posted and the other materials I mentioned be posted on the web page created by the U.S. Attorney's Office for Madoff related cases. In the government's view this was the most practical and efficient way to notify potential victims of today's proceeding. So I issued such an order on Friday, August 7th.

Late yesterday afternoon I received a copies of a proposed information, a plea agreement, as well as a letter

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

A. A. Carlotte and Carlotte and

1125.0003

98B6DIP

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Plea

from the government setting forth the bail conditions proposed by the parties in this case. I ordered that the last of these be posted similarly on the and U.S. Attorney's Office web page The information and plea agreement will presumably be posted today presuming the defendant waives indictment and executes the agreement that I received a draft of yesterday. To ensure at least some notice to the victims, including those who may be present here today, I directed the government to summarize and post on the web page the charges

contained in the information and the nature of the proposed plea agreement between the parties.

So are there any other additional facts that I left out, Mr. Litt?

> I don't believe so. No, your Honor. MR. LITT:

THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey, anything you think is relevant to the record?

MR. MUKASEY: No, Judge. I think that is it.

THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey, I understand that your client wishes to plead guilty pursuant to the information that has been drafted and provided to me, is that correct?

MR. MUKASEY: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. DiPascali, before I accept your guilty plea -- you can sit for the moment. Before I accept your guilty plea, I am going to ask you certain questions to ensure first of all that you are pleading guilty because you are

98B6DIP

Plea

guilty and not for some other. And also to make sure that you fully understand your rights, your Constitution and statutory rights, including your right to a trial.

So if at any point during the course of my questioning you don't understand my question or require some further elaboration on my part, let me know and I will do everything to clarify. If at any point you wish to confer with Mr. Mukasey or your other attorneys, that is perfectly fine. I will give you as much time as I need. I don't want you to feel rushed into a plea in this matter.

At this point I am going to ask Ms. Levine to administer the oath. This is an oath that I ask you to rise for. This is an oath that you will answer truthfully my questions.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please raise your right hand. (Defendant sworn)

THE COURT: Mr. DiPascali, having taken that oath, do you understand that any false answers to my questions could subject you to the penalties for perjury or for making a false statement, which would carry separate penalties and be accept and distinct from any of the crimes charged in the information in this matter?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Again, if at any point you wish to confer with your attorneys before answering, that is fine. If at any

98B6DIP

25

hours?

Plea

point you would like me to clarify a question before answering, 1 that is also fine. In fact, you should do that. But don't 2 3 make any false statements because that will compound any problems that you may already have. 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: Understood. THE COURT: Mr. DiPascali, could you state your full 6 7 name for the record? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Frank DiPascali, Jr. THE COURT: How old are you, Mr. DiPascali? 9 10 THE DEFENDANT: 52. 11 THE COURT: How far you go in school? 12 THE DEFENDANT: High school. 13 THE COURT: Where was that? THE DEFENDANT: Archbishop Malloy High School in 14 15 Briarwood, Queens. THE COURT: Are you now or have you recently been 16 under the care of a doctor or a psychiatrist? 17 18 THE DEFENDANT: No. 19 THE COURT: Have you ever been treated for any type of mental illness or any type of addiction, including drug or 20 21 alcohol addiction? 22 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. 23 THE COURT: Have you taken any drugs or any medicine or any pills or have you drunk any alcohol in the past 48 24

	98B6DIP Plea
1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
2	THE COURT: Tell me about that.
3	THE DEFENDANT: I had a glass of wine at dinner the
4	night before last.
5	THE COURT: The night before last?
6	THE DEFENDANT: That's correct.
7	THE COURT: No medication, no pills, no drugs of any
8	kind?
9	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
10	THE COURT: No other alcohol?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Correct.
12	THE COURT: Is your mind clear today?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Crystal clear, sir.
14	THE COURT: Do you under the nature of this proceeding
15	and what is going to take place here today?
16	THE DEFENDANT: I do.
17	THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey, do you have any doubt as to
18	your client's mental competence to enter an informed plea at
19	this time?
	MR. MUKASEY: None whatsoever.
21	THE COURT: Let me ask Mr. Litt and Ms. Baroni if they
22	share your confidence in that regard.
23	Mr. Litt?
24	MR. LITT: We do. We have no reason to think
25	otherwise.

98B6DIP

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Plea

THE COURT: On the basis of Mr. DiPascali's responses to my questions, my observations of his demeanor, and on the representations of his counsel and the prosecutors, I find that Mr. DiPascali is competent to enter an information plea at this time. Now, Mr. DiPascali, as I understand it you wish to plead guilty to an information, is that correct? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Have you had enough of an opportunity to discuss this information and the charges contained in it with your attorney, Mr. Mukasey? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Are you satisfied with Mr. Mukasey's representation of you? THE DEFENDANT: Absolutely. THE COURT: Do you feel you need or require any additional time to review the information or review any of the other documents associated with this matter? THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. THE COURT: Now, have you received a copy of the information that I've been referring to? THE DEFENDANT: I have. THE COURT: Have you read it yourself? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney

	98B6DIP Plea
1	Mr. Mukasey?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
3	THE COURT: Do you waive the public reading of that
4	information, or would you like me to read it to you here in
5	open court?
6	THE DEFENDANT: I would prefer it to be waived.
7	THE COURT: You will waive the public reading. That's
8	fine.
9	Now, do you have in front of you I don't know
10	whether you have the original in front of you a waiver of
.11	indictment form?
12	THE DEFENDANT: I do.
1.3	THE COURT: Is that your signature on that document?
14	THE DEFENDANT: It is.
15	THE COURT: When did you sign that?
16	THE DEFENDANT: About 15 minutes ago.
17	THE COURT: Prior to signing that document had you
18	reviewed the information in this case and discussed it with
19	Mr. Mukasey?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
21	THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey, is your signature on that
22	form as well?
23	MR. MUKASEY: It is, Judge.
24	THE COURT: And prior to signing it, did you review

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. . (212) 805-0300

the information and discuss it with your client?

Plea

Extensively.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

THE COURT: Now, I want to make sure you understand, Mr. DiPascali, that you have a right, a constitutional right, to proceed by way of an indictment, which is a charging instrument returned by a grand jury rather than an information, which is simply a charging instrument brought by prosecutors.

Do you understand that?

MR. MUKASEY:

THE COURT: I do. Under the Constitution you have a right to have evidence underlying the crimes charged in the information brought before the grand jury, which is a group of 23 citizens who would decide by majority vote whether probable cause had been established to demonstrate that you had committed the crimes charged in the charging instrument.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Only if the grand jury reached that determination of probable cause by a majority vote with a proper quorum of grand jurors present could those charges be returned against you.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: By waiving indictment, you will be giving up that right and you will be agreeing to go forward on the charges contained in the information without ever having the evidence brought before a grand jury.

98B6DIP Plea 1 Do you understand that? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Are you voluntarily and freely giving up 3 that right to proceed by a grand jury? 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: Absolutely. 6 THE COURT: Now, I want to explain to you your other constitutional rights. Have you had a chance to review with 7 your attorney, Mr. Mukasey, a three-page document probably 8 entitled Advice of Rights Form that should have been provided 9 to you by my chambers? 10 11 THE DEFENDANT: I have. 12 Is your signature on the second page of THE COURT: 13 that document? 14 THE DEFENDANT: It is. THE COURT: Before you signed that document, did you 15 review it carefully with your attorney, Mr. Mukasey? 16 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, we did. THE COURT: Did you have an opportunity discuss with 18 him any questions you may have had or any further explanation 19 of the rights described in that document? 20 21 THE DEFENDANT: Thoroughly. THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey, did you sign the third page? 22 23 MR. MUKASEY: I did, Judge.

extensive opportunity to discuss the rights described in that

THE COURT: Before signing it, did you have a full and

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Plea

document with your client?

MR. MUKASEY: Yes.

THE COURT: I am going to mark that as a court exhibit. I will mark it as Court Exhibit 1. I will date it and I will initial it.

I am also going to ask you in open court, Mr. DiPascali, some questions about the rights that are contained in this document. The reason I do that is because these rights are so vitally important and it is so essential that you understand these rights because they are there rights that you will would be waiving. In addition to this document, I want to make sure you have had an ample opportunity to consider them so I will ask you questions that may seem redundant but I think is it a price worth paying for rights that are this serious.

Mr. DiPascali, under the Constitution and laws of the United States, you would be entitled to a speedy and public jury trial on the charges contained in the information.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: At trial you would be presumed to be innocent and the government would be required to prove you guilty by competent evidence beyond a reasonable doubt before you could be found guilty.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

Plea THE COURT: Now, at trial a jury of 12 people would 1 have to agree unanimously that you were guilty before you could 2 3 be found quilty. 4 Do you understand that? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: You would not have to prove that you were 6 7 innocent if you went to trial. 8 Do you understand that? 9 THE DEFENDANT: I understand. 10 THE COURT: The jury would have to be persuaded beyond a reasonable doubt and they would have to be persuaded 11 unanimously of that fact before you could be found guilty. 12 13 Do you understand that? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 15 THE COURT: Now, at trial and at every stage of your 16 case, you would be entitled to be represented by an attorney and if you couldn't afford an attorney, one would be appointed 17 18 for you at no cost to you. 19 Do you understand that? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 21 During a trial, the witnesses for the THE COURT: government would have to come into court and testify in your 22 23 presence.

Do you understand that?

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Plea

THE COURT: It is called your right to confront your accusers. It is the confrontation clause of the Constitution. What that means is the witnesses would have to come and sit right here or in a box like it if it were in a different courtroom and you would be able to see them and hear them and they would be able to see you.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: At trial your attorney Mr. Mukasey would have an opportunity to cross-examine those witnesses.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: I have seen him do it. He is really good at it. You would have that opportunity.

THE DEFENDANT: That is why I sit next to him.

THE COURT: He would also have an opportunity to object to the government's evidence if he wished and if he felt appropriate.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: At trial you would have the right to have subpoenas issued, or other compulsory process used to compel witnesses to testify if you wished.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

Plea

THE COURT: If there are witnesses who you felt had valuable testimony, valuable to your defense and they didn't wish to testify, you could compel them to testify through subpoenas.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: At trial you yourself would have the right to testify if you chose.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Would you also have the right not to testify if you chose not to testify. If you chose not to testify then no one, particularly the jury, could draw any negative inference or any suggestion of your guilt by virtue of the fact that you chose not to testify.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: I would tell the jury that more than once. I tell them at the beginning and I would tell them in the middle and at the end that this was a fundamental right and principle of bedrock proportions in our constitutional system, that the criminal defendant never has any obligation to do anything at a trial. The burden also rests with the government. So if a defendant were not to testify, they could not and must not draw any negative inference against that

	98B6DIP Plea	
1	witness by virtue of that nontestimony.	
2	Do you understand that?	
3	THE DEFENDANT: I do.	
4	THE COURT: Now, do you understand that if you went to	
5	trial and you were convicted at trial, you would then have a	
6	right to appeal the jury's verdict if you wished.	
7	Do you understand that?	
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.	
9	THE COURT: Now, if you plead guilty and if I accept	
10	your guilty plea, you will give up your right to a trial and	
11	all the other rights I have just described.	
12	Do you understand that?	
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.	
14	THE COURT: The only exception to that would be your	
15	right to counsel. That right would continue through your plea,	
16	through sentencing, and through appeal if you wished to appeal.	
17	Do you understand that?	
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.	
19	THE COURT: But the other rights that I just described	
20	and are described in the document that we talked about before,	
21	Court Exhibit 1, those would be gone. You would be waiving	
22	those.	
23	Do you understand that?	
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.	
25	THE COURT: Do you understand you have a right to	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Plea

1 change your mind even now?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: There are a lot of people here, and your lawyers are here, the government is here. That is well and good but if you want to go to trial, you have a right to go to trial and nobody will be upset with you and annoyed at you.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, sir.

THE COURT: Do you nevertheless wish to go forward with your guilty plea at this time?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Now, do you understand that if you plead guilty and if I accept your guilty plea then you will be sentenced on the basis of that guilty plea among other things; do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if you plead guilty, there will be no appeal on the question of whether or not you committed the offenses to which you pled guilty; do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Do you also understand if you plead guilty, I am going to ask you questions about what you did. I am going to ask you basically to give up your right not to incriminate yourself because I am going to need you to tell me

98B6DIP Plea what you did that makes you guilty of these crimes before I 1 will accept the plea; do you understand that? 2 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: I said a minute ago if you went to trial 4 you would have a right not to testify and that of course is 5 true. No negative inference could be drawn against you or 6 considered by the jury. If you are going to plead guilty then 7 I will need to be persuaded that you are pleading guilty 8 because you are guilty and not for some other reason. So that 9 is why I am going to ask you questions about what you did and 10 how that makes you guilty of the offense. 11 12 Do you understand? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 14 THE COURT: Do you understand each and everyone of 15 these rights, Mr. DiPascali? 16 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 17 THE COURT: Are you waiving your rights to a trial and all the other rights I just mentioned? 18 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 20 THE COURT: Now, the information that you have indicated you've read charged you in 10 separate counts. 21 22

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

23

24

25

THE COURT: I am not going to go through it in detail.

I am not going to read it. The first count charges you with

Plea

conspiracy to commit securities fraud, investment advisory fraud, falsify books and records of a broker/dealer, falsify books and records of an investment fund, mail fraud, wire fraud, money laundering all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371-72.

Count Two charges you with a substantive count of securities fraud violation of 15, United States Code, Section 78j(b), 78ff.

The third count charges you with investment adviser fraud, in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 80b-6 and 80b-17.

The fourth count charges you with falsifying broker/dealer books and records in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Sections 78q(a) and 78ff as well as the regulation that is promulgated thereafter 17, C.F.R., Section 240.17(a)(3).

The fifth count charges you with falsifying investment adviser books and records in violation of 15, United States Code, Section 80(b)(4) and 80b-17 as well as a code section of the C.F.R.

Count Six charges you with mail fraud in violation of 18, United States Code, Section 1341.

Count Seven charges you with wire fraud in violation of Title 18, United States Code, 1343.

Count Eight charges you with money laundering in

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

6 . 7 . 8 . 9

1

2

3

4

5

12

10

11

14

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

Plea 98B6DIP violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(2). 1 Count Nine charges you with perjury in violation of 2 Title 18, United States Code, Section 1621. 3 Count Ten charges you with income tax evasion in 4 violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7201. 5 So those are the 10 counts. 6 Do you understand that? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 8 THE COURT: In addition the information contains two 9 forfeiture allegations. The first calls for you to forfeit all 10 property and proceeds deprived from the crimes charged in 11 Counts One, Two, Six and Seven for a total amount of \$170 12 billion. 13

Do you understand that?

14

15

16

17

18

79

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes I do.

THE COURT: Billion with a "B."

And the second forfeiture allegation charges or contains an allegation which would call for you to forfeit all the property derived from the money laundering count, Count Eight. That is for a total amount of at least \$250 million.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: I am going to ask the government now to state the elements of the offense. These are the things that the government would have to prove and the jury would have to

Plea

find beyond a reasonable doubt for you to be convicted on those counts of the information. These are the things that I will have to find have been demonstrated before I will accept the guilty plea on those counts. So I want you to listen very carefully as Mr. Litt or Ms. Baroni describes these elements. It may take a while frankly. But it is essential that you understand these elements.

I assume you have discussed the elements of these offenses with your attorney Mr. Mukasey.

MR. MUKASEY: In detail.

THE COURT: So, mr. Litt, do you want to go through the counts in the indictment and the elements? In the information. I think I said indictment. Information.

MR. LITT: With respect to Count One conspiracy, in order to prove the crime of conspiracy the government must establish each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that the conspiracy charged in the information existed. In other words, that there was in fact an agreement or understanding to violate the law of the United States;

Second, that the defendant knowingly, willingly, and voluntarily became a member of the conspiracy charged;

Third, that any one of the co-conspirators knowingly committed at least one overt act in the Southern

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1125.0021

98B6DIP Plea District of New York in furtherance of the conspiracy during 1 2 the life of the conspiracy. 3 Count Two, securities fraud. In order to prove the crime of securities fraud, the government must prove each of 4 5 the following beyond a reasonable doubt: 6 First, that in connection with the purchase or 7 sale of a security the defendant did any one or more of the 8 following: 9 Employed a device, scheme or artifice to 10 defraud or, 11 Made an untrue statement of a material fact or omitted to state a material fact which made what was said 12 13 under the circumstances misleading or, 14 Engaged in an act, practice, or course of 15

business that operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon a purchaser or seller.

Second, that the defendant acted knowingly, willfully, and with the intent to defraud; and,

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Third, that the defendant knowingly used or caused to be used any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or the use of the mails in furtherance of the fraudulent conduct.

Count Three, investment adviser fraud. In order to prove the crime of investment adviser fraud, the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt the four following

elements:

Plea

1

Τ.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

First, that the defendant was an investment adviser;

Second, that the defendant either (A) employed a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud clients and prospective clients, (B) engaged in a transaction, practice, or course of business which operated as a fraud and deceit upon those clients and perspective clients, or (C) engaged in an act, practice, and course of business that was fraudulent, deceptive and manipulative;

Third, that the defendant devised or participated in such allege device, scheme, or artifice to defraud, or engaged in such alleged transaction, practice, or course of business knowingly, willfully, and with the intent to defraud;

Fourth, that the defendant employed such alleged device, scheme, or artifice to defraud or engaged in such alleged transaction, practice, or course of business by use of the mails or other instrumentality of interstate commerce.

Count Four, falsifying broker/dealer books and records. In order to prove the crime of falsifying broker/dealer books and records, the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt the following elements:

First, that at the time of the alleged offense,
Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities was a registered
broker/dealer;

Plea

1 Second, that that company failed to make and keep certain accurate records as required under the SEC's rules and 2 3 regulations; Third, that the defendant aided and abetted the 4 failure of that company to make and keep accurate records; and 5 Fourth, that the defendant acted knowingly and 6 7 willfully. Count Five, falsifying books and records of an 8 investment adviser. In order to prove this crime, the 9 government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt each of the 10 11 following: 12 First, that at the time of the alleged offense, the Madoff firm was an investment adviser; 13 14 Second, that the firm failed to make and keep certain accurate records as required under the SEC's rules and 15 16 regulations; 17 Third, that the defendant aided and abetted the failure of the firm to make and keep accurate records; 18 19 Fourth, that the defendant acted knowingly and 20 willfully; 21 Fifth, that the offense involved the use of the mails and means of instrumentalities of interstate commerce. 22 In order to prove Count Six, mail fraud, the 23 government must establish beyond a reasonable doubt each of the 24 25 following:

.

First, that on or about the time alleged in the information, there was a scheme or artifice to defraud in order to obtain money or property by false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises;

Second, that the false or fraudulent statements and representations concerned material facts;

Third, that the defendant knowingly and willfully devised or participated in the scheme or artifice to defraud with knowledge of its fraudulent nature and with specific intent to defraud;

Fourth, that the United States mails or a commercial carrier were used in furtherance of the scheme specified in the information.

In order to prove the crime of wire fraud, Count Seven, the government must establish beyond a reasonable doubt the following four elements:

First, that at or about the time alleged in the information, it was a scheme or artifice to defraud in order to obtain money or property by false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises;

Second, that the false or fraudulent statements and representations concerned material facts;

Third, that the defendant knowingly and willfully devised or participated in the scheme or artifice to defraud with knowledge of its fraudulent nature and with specific

intent to defraud; and

Plea

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Fourth, that interstate or foreign wire facilities were used in furtherance of the scheme to defraud and specified in the information.

In order to prove the crime of unlawful transportation of funds or monetary instruments with the intent to promote the carrying on of a specified unlawful activity --

THE COURT: This is what we are referring to as the money laundering count?

MR. LITT: Count Eight, money laundering count, the government must establish the following elements:

First, that the defendant transported a monetary instrument or funds from a place in the United States to or through a place outside the United States, or to a place in the United States from or through a place outside of the United States;

Second, that the defendant did so with the intent promote the carrying on specified unlawful activity.

In order to prove Count Nine, perjury, the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following elements:

First, that the defendant took an oath to testify truly before the Securities and Exchange Commission, a body authored by law to administer oaths;

Second, that the defendant made false statements

98B6DIP Plea as to matters about which the defendant testified under oath 1 2 and set forth in the information; Third, that the matters as to which it is charged 3 4 that the defendant made false statements were material to the issues under inquiry by the Securities and Exchange Commission; 5 6 and 7 Fourth, that such false statements were willfully . 8 made. To prove the offense of the attempting to evade or 9 defeat a tax or the payment thereof, which is Count Ten, the 10 government must prove the following elements: 11 12 First, that the defendant attempted to evade or 13 defeat a tax; 14 Second, that additional taxes were due and owing 15 by the defendant: 16 Third, that the defendant acted knowingly and willfully. **1**7 18 I should point out that there are aiding and abetting charges as well with respect to the substantive counts set 19 forth in Counts Two through Eight. So if the defendant caused 20 or aided and abetted another in committing any of those crimes, 21 22 he would be guilty as if a principal. 23

THE COURT: I think I may have neglected to mention the aiding and abetting counts.

24

25

You understand, Mr. DiPascali, in addition to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Plea

substantive crimes charged after the conspiracy count, Counts Two through 10, some of those, most of those also charge aiding and abetting so that even if you didn't commit the crime, it is alleged that you aided and abetted others to commit the crime and so each of the elements would have to be met for aiding and abetting; all right? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Do you understand those are the elements of the offenses? THE DEFENDANT: I do.

It took a long time but is that consistent THE COURT: with what you discussed with your attorney?

> THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey, do you agree those are the elements to the offenses in the information?

> MR. MUKASEY: I do, Judge.

THE COURT: I want to go over with you, Mr. DiPascali, the maximum penalties you face for each of these offenses. Count One, the conspiracy count, carries a maximum term of imprisonment of five years, a maximum term of supervised release of three years, a maximum fine of the greatest of either \$250,000, or twice the gross pecuniary or financial loss to persons, other than yourself, resulting from the offense, or twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the offense, whichever is greatest of those three alternatives is the

. 9

Plea

maximum fine. In addition as part of your sentence, I can order restitution be paid to any victims and I can also order that you forfeit the proceeds or least -- Count One carries at least part of the forfeiture allegation, right?

MR. LITT: Yes.

THE COURT: In addition, Count One carries a mandatory

THE COURT: In addition, Count One carries a mandatory special assessment of \$100. That is in addition to any fine or forfeiture or restitution.

Count Two, which is the securities fraud count, carries a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years, a maximum term of supervised release of three years, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$5 million, or twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss as I previously described those things so whichever is greatest of those three, as well as restitution and forfeiture and \$100 special assessment. The 100-dollar special assessment would be mandatory.

Count Three, which is the investment adviser fraud count, carries a maximum term of imprisonment of five years, a maximum term of supervised release of three years, a maximum fine of the greatest of either \$250,000, or twice the gross pecuniary gain deprived from the offense or twice the gross pecuniary loss to persons, other than yourself, as well as restitution to any persons injured by this conduct, and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.

Count Four, which is falsifying books and records of a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Plea broker/dealer carries a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years, a maximum term of supervised release of three years, a maximum fine again of the greatest \$5 million, or twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss to persons, other than yourself, from the offense, as well as restitution, and again a mandatory special assessment of \$100. Counts Five, which is falsifying books and records of an investment adviser carries a maximum term of imprisonment of five years, a maximum term of supervised release of three years, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$10,000, or twice the gross gain derived from the offense, or twice gross pecuniary

Count Six is the mail fraud count. It carries a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years, a maximum term of supervised release of three years, a maximum fine of \$250,000, or twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss, as well as restitution, and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.

loss to persons, other than yourself, resulting from offense,

as well as restitution and again a \$100 special assessment.

County Seven, wire fraud, carries similar penalties. Again, a 20-year maximum term of imprisonment, a three-year term of supervised release, a maximum fine of the greatest of 250,000, or twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss to persons, other than yourself, as well as a potential for restitution to any victims, and a \$100 special assessment.

Count Eight, which is a money laundering count,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

**1**5

16

17

18

**1**9

20

21

:22

.23

24

:25

Plea

carries a maximum term of imprisonment of five years, a maximum term of supervised release of three years, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$500,000, or twice the gross gain or twice the gloss loss resulting from the offense, as well as restitution to any victims, and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.

Count Nine carries a maximum term of imprisonment of five years, the perjury count, a maximum term of supervised release of three years, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$250,000, or twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss, and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.

Count Ten, which is the tax evasion count, carries a maximum term of imprisonment five years, a maximum term of supervised of three years, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$250,000, or twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss, whichever is the greatest, as well as restitution, and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.

Do you understand those are the maximum penalties?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Litt, something you wanted to add?

Did I misstate something?

MR. LITT: Your Honor, with respect to Count Six,
Seven, and Eight, mail fraud, wire fraud, and money laundering,
forfeiture is also a possible penalty.

THE COURT: Yes. I was going to mention the forfeiture. I thought I did with respect to the individual

98B6DIP Plea counts. Understand for those counts for which the forfeiture allegation as been set forth in the information, in addition to any fine, in addition to any restitution, in addition to any mandatory special assessment you could also be ordered to forfeit any of the proceeds from the offense. Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: I do. THE COURT: The maximum possible penalties combined would be a maximum term of imprisonment of 125 years. Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: The maximum special assessment, when you collectively add up would be \$1,000, as well as the fines and everything else I mentioned. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Are you a United States citizen, Mr.

DiPascali?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand as a result of your conviction, you could lose certain valuable civil rights, including your right to hold public office, your right to serve on a jury, your right to vote, and your right to possess any kind of firearm; do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT:

Plea

to day, state, federal or local at this time?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

1

2

3

**4** 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Now, with respect to supervised release, you should be aware that there are terms and conditions associated with supervised release. If you were to violate the terms of your supervised release, you then could be returned to prison for the full period of your supervised release and you would not get any credit for the good time on which you are on

Now, are you serving any other sentences

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

supervised release.

not always clear. If I sentenced you to a term of imprisonment and then sentenced you to five years of supervised -- three years of supervised release, we will say, three years. What that means is after you have finished your prison sentence, you will be released and you would be supervised by the Probation Department. There will be conditions associated with your supervision including, among other things, that you not commit any further crimes, you not possess a firearm, you not use or possess any kinds of drugs, among other things.

Well, if for 35 months you were perfect, you did everything you were asked to do and then in the 36th month, the last month of supervised release you committed another crime,

Plea

2

4

or you possessed a firearm illegally, well, then I could violate your supervised release and I could return you to prison for three full years, the full term of supervised release even though for 35 out of 36 months you were perfect.

5

Do you understand that?

6

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

7

THE COURT: Do you understand that parole has been abolished so you would not be released from prison any earlier as a result of parole?

9 10

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

11

THE COURT: Parole doesn't exist in the federal system. It exists in certain state systems, including New York

13

12

State. It used to exist in the federal system and what that

14 15 meant typically is a judge would impose a sentence usually of an indeterminate nature, five to 10 years, and someone else, a

16

parole board typically, would determine when would be the

17

appropriate time for the defendant to be released depending on

18

whether or not they had been rehabilitated or are ready to resume life in the community. That is not a part of this

19 20

federal system. So whatever sentence I impose is the sentence

. 21

Do you understand that?

you will serve.

22 23

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

24

25

THE COURT: The only exception to that is that you could receive up to 15 percent off for good behavior. That

98B6DIP Plea would be a determination made by Bureau of Prisons and it would 1 be no more than 15 percent of the sentence imposed. 2 3 Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: I do. THE COURT: I want to go over a few other things with 5 6 First of all, in terming what your sentence will sentencing. be, that is a decision for me to make. 7 8 Do you understand that? 9 THE DEFENDANT: I do. THE COURT: For the Court and no one else. 10 11 So whatever your attorneys may have told you, whatever the government may have told you, whatever any one else may 12 have told you, that is not binding on me. 13 14 Do you understand that? 15 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 16 THE COURT: I will determine what is the appropriate sentence after reviewing the presentence report, after 17 reviewing submissions made by you if you wish, made by the 18 government, made by the victims of the offenses. 19 20 Do you understand that? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Only then will I decide what is the 22 23

appropriate sentence.

24

25

Now, I also want to go over with you the current state of the law. Under the law I am required to consider certain

Plea

factors before imposing sentence. I will tell you quite candidly even if I weren't required to consider these things, I would consider them. These are the factors that I think any civilized society would take into account in imposing a sentence on another human being.

Those things include, among other things, your own personal history and background. It also includes obviously the nature and circumstances of the offenses to which you have offered to plea guilty. It includes the need for me to impose a sentence that reflects the seriousness of the offenses and the need to promote respect for the law.

Another objective for sentencing is deterrence, that is both general and specific deterrence. I would be obliged to fashion a sentence that prevents you from committing crimes of this sort or any other sort in the future and that also would have the effect of deterring others who might consider engaging in this kind of criminal conduct to think twice, general deterrence.

I would consider your own needs, your own rehabilitative needs, your own medical needs, your own educational needs, those things. For you and for any defendant who comes before me I would consider those things before imposing a sentence.

I would also consider the needs of the victims of these crimes to receive restitution. That is obviously an

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1125.0036

1.8

Plea

important factor that would have to be considered in imposing a sentence.

I would also consider the United States Sentencing Guidelines. Are you familiar with the Sentencing Guidelines, Mr. DiPascali?

THE DEFENDANT: I am.

THE COURT: You have discussed those with your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: In detail.

THE COURT: I am not going to go over them in great detail. The United States Sentencing Guidelines are a big book. A new edition comes out each year. This year's version is about 600 pages long. I am not going to go into it in any kind of detail. What these guidelines attempt to do is provide objectives and transparent criteria by which an individual and the criminal conduct that an individual engaged in can be evaluated.

These guidelines are advisory. They are not binding on me. I am not required to follow them. I am required to consider them and I will consider them. What they essentially do is that for each crime or type of crime, they provide a framework to assess the seriousness of that crime. There are two calculations that are done. First, is a offense level calculation. For financial frauds, for example, the calculation would focus on the amount of loss involved, the

Plea

number of victims, the nature of the offense. And for each of those factors, it would be numerical value ascribed. And so in calculating these things, the Court would basically do math and come up with a number, which would be the offense level.

In addition there is a separate calculation for criminal history category and so not surprisingly a person engaged in other criminal conduct who has prior convictions and prior sentences would be treated more seriously and would get more criminal history points than someone who has no criminal history. On the basis of those two calculations, offense level on the one hand and criminal history category on the other, the guidelines comes up with a range in terms of months which in the view of the Commission that prepares these guidelines would be appropriate in the ordinary case.

So as I said I will consider those calculations, I will make calculations, and I will certainly consider the range that is provided for and proposed by these guidelines. At the end of the day, ultimately I don't have to follow them and I am free to go higher or lower as I see fit.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Finally, I want to make sure that you understand whatever sentence I impose no matter how unhappy you may be with it, you will not be entitled to withdraw your guilty plea at that point and go forward with the trial.

98B6DIP Plea 1 Do you understand that? 2 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 3 THE COURT: You will be entitled to your opinion that I got it wrong or I was too harsh, but you would not be able to 4 say I would like to turn the clock back to August 11th and go 5 6 to trial now because that option will have gone. 7 Do you understand that? 8 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 9 THE COURT: I understand there is a plea agreement in this case, is that correct? 10 11 MR. MUKASEY: That's correct. 12 MR. LITT: Yes. 13 THE COURT: Is the original with you, Mr. Mukasey? 14 MR. MUKASEY: It is, your Honor. THE COURT: You can keep that there for the moment. 15 received a draft. I have not received an executed or signed 16 Let me make sure it is the same as what you have. Bear with me for a second. (Pause) It is an August 11th letter. It is a seven-paged,

single-spaced letter. It is from the government, Ms. Baroni and Mr. Litt, to Mr. Mukasey, your attorney.

Do you have a copy of this in front you, or the original in front of you?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: I have the original.

	98B6DIP Plea
1	THE COURT: Is your signature on the last page?
2	THE DEFENDANT: It is.
3	THE COURT: When did you sign it?
4	THE DEFENDANT: 35 minutes ago.
5	THE COURT: Before you signed it, did you have an
6	opportunity to read this agreement?
7	THE DEFENDANT: In detail.
8	THE COURT: Did you have an opportunity discuss it
9	with Mr. Mukasey in detail?
10	THE DEFENDANT: We have.
11 .	THE COURT: Do you require any additional time to
12	review this agreement?
13	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey, is that your signature on the
15	last page as well?
16	MR. MUKASEY: It is, Judge.
17	THE COURT: Before you signed it, did you review this
18	agreement in detail with your client?
19	MR. MUKASEY: Yes, we did.
20	THE COURT: Now, Mr. DiPascali, I am not going to go
21	over this in tremendous detail because as I said it is a
22	seven-paged, single-spaced letter. I want to make sure you
23	understand the nature of this agreement. This agreement is
24	what is known as cooperation agreement.
25	Is that your understanding?

Plea

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is.

THE COURT: By this agreement you have agreed to cooperate with the government and to take on certain obligations that are set forth in this agreement.

Is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: The government has agreed if you provide substantial assistance, well, they will make a motion to the Court to apprise me of that assistance, which would then allow me for sentence you below the Sentencing Guidelines. So that is essence what the agreement is.

Is that your understanding?

THE DEFENDANT: Exactly, sir.

THE COURT: Is there any other agreement that you have besides this one, besides this August 11th agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: Is there any other oral agreement or any side agreement that exists beyond the confines of these seven pages?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: Has anybody attempted to threaten you or induce you or otherwise persuade you to plead guilty to the charges contained in the information or to accept and sign this plea agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Plea

THE COURT: You signed it of your own free will and voluntarily?

THE DEFENDANT: I have.

THE COURT: Now, I want to make sure you understand if

THE COURT: Now, I want to make sure you understand in the government decides that you provided substantial assistance -- as I say they can make this motion -- I am not required to follow it and I will still ultimately decide what is the proper sentence.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Now, if the government decides that you did not provide substantial assistance, then that may limit my ability to sentence you below the guidelines. As I said the guidelines are just advisory so it ultimately wouldn't bar me from doing so. There was a time when it would have, but it wouldn't today. Certainly the government has the exclusive right to decide whether or not you provided substantial assistance under this agreement.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey, are you aware of any of valid defense that would apply as a matter of law or any other reason why your client should not be allowed to enter a plea at this time?

MR. MUKASEY: No, Judge.

Plea

THE COURT: Now, at this point I am going to ask you to stand, Mr. DiPascali. I want you to tell me in your own words what it is that you did that makes you guilty of the offense -- excuse me -- the offenses charged in the information. So since there are 10 counts, this may take a while. I am not sure if you discussed with your lawyer how is the best way to do this, either count by count or groups of counts.

Mr. Mukasey, do you have a view?

MR. MUKASEY: Judge, we have we have worked together to prepare a statement that I think covers all the counts. I think we can have Mr. DiPascali read it if that is okay with the Court. I think that he will hit all the elements of all the counts. If you would like he can advise the Court of the counts that he is about to discuss if that is helps focus the Court.

THE COURT: Whatever you think is most appropriate.

There is nothing wrong with reading a statement, Mr. DiPascali, as long as they are really your words. It is not usual a defendant in your position would work with their attorney to prepare a statement that would be their allocution to crimes charged in the information. But it is important that they be your words and that is something you are just reciting or reading.

I may ask you some questions or interrupt along the

98B6DIP Plea If you have a statement, why don't we start with that and 1 we will see if it is necessary to follow up in certain areas. 2 3 MR. MUKASEY: May I have one moment? 4 THE COURT: Yes. While you are conferring if you could hand to 5 Ms. Levine the plea agreement, I will mark that as a court 6 I will mark that as Court Exhibit 2. I will initial 7 exhibit. 8 and date it as well.

(Pause)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Mr. DiPascali, are you ready?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.

THE COURT: Let me ask you to read slowly so the court reporter can get it down. We have very good court reporters, probably the best in the world, but there are limits to what 10 fingers can do. I frequently speak too quickly and they are too polite to remind me, but I will remind you.

> THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, your Honor.

I am standing here today to say that from the early 1990s until December of 2008 I helped Bernie Madoff, and other people, carry out the fraud that hurt thousands of people. am guilty and I want to explain a little bit about what I  $\operatorname{did}$ and how I want everybody everyone to know that I take responsibility for my conduct.

Judge, I started working for Bernard Madoff Investment Securities in 1975 right after a graduated from high school.

Plea

was a kid from Queens. I didn't have a college degree. I didn't know anything about Wall Street. I ended up spending the next 30 years working for Bernie Madoff and his firm until December 11th, 2008.

Over the first 15 or so years at the Madoff firm, I had a bunch of different jobs. I worked a research analyst, and options trader, and a guy who basically did whatever I was told to do around the office.

In 1987 I helped move our firm from the office at 110 Wall Street to our new office at 885 Third Avenue. Eventually Bernie Madoff's investment advisory business, which managed client accounts, took space on the 17th floor of 885 Third Avenue and I became sort of a supervisor of that floor.

During that first 15 or so years, I watched Bernie Madoff and other people at the firm. I learned how the securities industry worked, or at least how it worked in the Madoff universe. I thought I worked for a prestigious and successful securities firm.

By 1990 or so Bernie Madoff was a mentor to me and a lot more. I was loyal to him. I ended up being loyal to a terrible, terrible fault. By the early 1990s Bernie Madoff had stable clients whose accounts he managed as an investment adviser. He attracted a lot of these clients by telling them that the firm would apply a hedged investment strategy to their money. The clients were told that the strategy involved

Plea

purchasing what we call basket of blue chip common stocks.

Hedging those investments by buying and selling option

contracts, getting in and out of the market at opportune times

and investing in government securities at other times.

By 2008 Bernie Madoff had thousands of clients who believed their funds were being invested this way. For years I was a main point of contact for many of those clients when they had questions about their account.

From at least the early 1990s through December of 2008, there was one simple fact that Bernie Madoff knew, that I knew, and that other people knew but that we never told the clients nor did we tell the regulators like the SEC. No purchases of sales of securities were actually taking place in their accounts. It was all fake. It was all fictitious. It was wrong and I knew it was wrong at the time, sir.

THE COURT: When did you realize that?

THE DEFENDANT: In the late '80s or early '90s.

I would like to address some of the counts in the information. Regarding Count One, conspiracy; Count Two, securities fraud; and Count Three, investment adviser fraud.

From our office in Manhattan at Bernie Madoff's direction, and together with others, I represented to hundreds, if not thousands, of clients that security trades were being placed in their accounts when in fact no trades were taking place at all.

1.5

Plea

THE COURT: How did you do that? Through documents or through oral communications?

THE DEFENDANT: Both.

THE COURT: Both.

THE DEFENDANT: Most of the time the clients' money just simply went into a bank account in New York that Bernie Madoff controlled. Between the early '90s and December '08 at Bernie Madoff's direction, and together with others, I did follow things: On a regular basis I told clients over the phones and using wires that transactions on national securities exchanges were taking place in their account when I knew that no such transactions were indeed taking place. I also took steps to conceal from clients, from the SEC, and from auditors the fact that no actual security trades were taking place and to perpetuate the illusion that they actually were.

On a regular basis I used hindsight to file historical prices on stocks then I used those prices to post purchase of sales to customer accounts as if they had been executed in realtime. On a regular basis I added fictitious trade data to account statements of certain clients to reflect the specific rate of earn return that Bernie Madoff had directed for that client.

Regarding Count Six, mail fraud, on a regular basis I caused the U.S. mail to be used to send fraudulent account statements to clients from our office in Manhattan. The

Plea

account statements listed security transactions that had supposedly taken place in the client accounts, although I knew that no such transactions had indeed taken place. For example, in December of 2008, I caused fake accounts statements to be mailed from the Madoff firm to a client in Manhattan.

Regarding the wire fraud, or Count Seven, on a regular basis I gauged manage to be a client in the second manage to be a client

Regarding the wire fraud, or Count Seven, on a regular basis I caused money to be wired from bank accounts in that New York to bank accounts in London, and other places abroad. For example, in March of '07 I caused about \$14 million to be sent by wire from a bank account in London to a bank account in New York in furtherance of this fraudulent scheme.

THE COURT: How did it further this scheme?

THE DEFENDANT: Bernie Madoff was trying to present the scenario, Judge, to regulators and others that he was earning commission income on these fictitious trades in order to substantiate the ruse. He had me wire funds -- excuse me. He had our London office wire funds to New York that represented the theoretical amount of those commissioned incomes, had the regulators come in and added up all the tickets, if you will, to see our customer commissions. And in the example I cited in that particular instance, we would have had we actually done those trades earned \$14 million in commission income. So he had the London office wire to the New York office a figure of about \$14 million.

THE COURT: What was your role in connection with

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1125.0048

those wire transfers?

Plea

THE DEFENDANT: I calculated the theoretical commissions and advised the London office where to send the money.

On Count Eight, sir, international money laundering, between 2002 and 2008, I caused money to be wired from a Madoff firm bank account in New York to a Madoff account in London, which again was used to continue this fraud. I participated in falsifying documents that were required to be made and kept accurately under the SEC rules and regulations, including ledgers, trade blotters, customer statements, and trade confirmations.

On Count Four and five, falsifying broker/dealer books and records and falsifying investment adviser books and records, between 2004 and 2008 the firm was a registered broker/dealer. Between September '06 and December '08 when the firm was also a registered as an investment adviser, it was required to make accurate books and records under the SEC rules. In January of '06, together with others, I used data from the Internet to create fake trade blotters that were made and kept and produced for the SEC.

In April of '08, together with others, I caused fake trade blotters, ledgers, and other books and records to be made and kept by the firm.

In order to discuss Count Nine, which is perjury, on

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Plea

January 26, 2006, at Bernie Madoff's direction I lied to the SEC during testimony I gave under oath in Manhattan about the activities of the Madoff firm. My false testimony is set out in Paragraph 61 of the information.

I did all of these fraudulent activities, your Honor, in Manhattan.

THE COURT: Let me ask you about the perjury count.

There is a number of specifications of false statements, eight, in particular with an underlying portions which I gather are the false or allegedly false statements. Is your statement here today that the underlying portions set forth in pages 41 through 43 were in fact false statements?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: At the time you uttered these statements -- this is a transcript of your testimony, is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: It is indeed a transcript describing the Madoff trading operation, which I knew at time when I was describing it was entirely fraudulent.

THE COURT: So you anticipated my next question. You knew at the time you made these statements that portions of the statements, in particular the underlying portions, were false?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: You did this to mislead the SEC?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

98B6DIP Plea 1 THE COURT: For what purpose? 2 THE DEFENDANT: To throw them off their tracks, sir. 3 THE COURT: Did you have a sense they were on the 4 track? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 6 THE COURT: At that point? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: These statements were made all on one 8 occasion, January 26, 2006? 9 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 11 THE COURT: And where was that? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Down at the SEC offices in the World 13 Trade Center. 14 THE COURT: World Financial Center? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Correct. THE COURT: I interrupted you. I think you were 16 17 proceeding on to another count. 18 THE DEFENDANT: Judge, thousands of clients, institutions, individuals, funds, charities were all misled 19 about the status of their accounts, what was being done with 20 their money, and what their accounts were worth. 21 In order to discuss Count Ten, which is tax evasion, 22 let me say that in the years 2002, 5, 6 and 7, I evaded federal 23 taxes that I owed by putting some of my income in the name of a 24 corporation I controlled and that I didn't fully and truthfully 25

Plea

report my income on my federal income tax returns.

Your Honor, while this was going on I kn

Your Honor, while this was going on, I knew no trades were happening. I knew I was participating in a fraudulent scheme. I knew what was happening was criminal and I did it anyway.

I thought for a long time that Bernie Madoff had other assets that he could liquidate if the clients requested the return of their money. That is not an excuse. There is no excuse. I knew everything that I did was wrong and it was criminal and I did it knowingly and willfully. I regret everything that I did. I accept complete responsibility for my conduct. I don't know how I went from an 18-year-old kid happening to have a job to before someone standing before the Court today. I can only say I never wanted to hurt anyone. I apologize to every victim of this catastrophe and to my family and to the government. I am very, very sorry.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. DiPascali.

Let me ask you a couple questions about the tax fraud count.

This is the years between 2002 and 2007. It sound like it is 2002, 2005, 2006, and 2007; is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And when you made the false statements to the IRS, where were you when you did that? This was in your tax returns you made these false statements?

98B6DIP Plea 1 THE DEFENDANT: In those years, sir, I did not file 2 tax returns. THE COURT: But at the time you either filed false tax 3 returns or didn't file tax returns, you understood that you 4 were liable for additional taxes, that you had hidden income so 5 to speak through this company that you controlled? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Did any of the fraud associated with the tax evasion take place in New York? MR. MUKASEY: May I have one moment, your Honor?

THE COURT: Certainly.

(Pause)

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MUKASEY: Your Honor, with respect to the venue on Count Ten, I think it is fair to say that the evidence would show that the income that was evaded was earned in New York and the money was transferred into this corporation from an account To the extent that that establishes venue, we in New York. offer that for venue. If not, Judge, we are willing to waive venue as to Count Ten.

He is a resident of New Jersey. A lot of his personal accounting actions take place in New Jersey. So it is a movement of the money into this account that he controlled in the Southern District of New York. If it is not enough to establish venue, we will waive venue on Count Ten.

THE COURT: Mr. DiPascali, do you understand what

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Plea

1 Mr. Mukasey just said?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, sir.

THE COURT: We didn't talk really about venue when we were going through the elements of the offense. These are the 10 elements that Mr. Litt described and these were things that would have to be demonstrated and proven beyond a reasonable doubt if you went to trial.

Each of the counts of the information also have a requirement that venue be established. The standard of proof for venue is lesser. It is by a preponderance. It means a little more than halfway basically. So what Mr. Mukasey has said is he thinks there is such basis for venue to be established on the tax evasion case; but if there weren't that you would you be prepared to waive venue, which you can do.

Is that your understanding? Is that what you wish to do?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Give me a minute. There are 10 counts here so I want to take a quick look to make sure we covered the elements.

While I am doing that, Mr. Litt, to your mind is that a sufficient allocution with respect to each of the 10 Counts of the information?

MR. LITT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey, do you agree?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1125.0054

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Plea

MR. MUKASEY: I do, your Honor.

Mr. DiPascali, has one or two more sentences.

THE COURT: I am sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off,
Mr. DiPascali.

THE DEFENDANT: I wanted to make it very, very clear I know my apology means almost nothing but I hope my actions going forward with the government will mean something and I promise to dedicate all my energy to try to explain to others how this happened. I hope my help will bring some small measure of comfort to those who have been harmed.

THE COURT: Thank you.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.

(Pause)

THE COURT: Mr. Litt, let me ask you to summarize the government's evidence if it were to go to trial.

Mr. DiPascali, let me ask you to listen carefully to Mr. Litt as he summarizes the evidence and also as he summarizes your role in these offenses. Because after he is done, I am going to ask you if you take issue with or dispute anything he just said. So I want you to pay close attention.

MR. LITT: Yes, your Honor. If this case were to have proceeded to trial, the government would have proven through testimony and evidence beyond a reasonable doubt the facts set forth in the information. In summary, the government would have proven that beginning at least as early as the 1980s, a

Plea

conspiracy existed between Mr. DiPascali, Mr. Madoff, and others, to commit securities fraud, investment adviser fraud, falsifying books and records of a broker/dealer and of an investment adviser, mail fraud, wire fraud, and money laundering.

Mr. Madoff's firm, Bernard L. Madoff Investment

Securities, LLC., was a registered broker/dealer throughout the

period and was a registered investment adviser between about

September 2006 and December 11th of 2008.

Mr. DiPascali worked at the firm in New York, New York, where the firm was located beginning in 1975. By the early 1990s, Mr. DiPascali was responsible under the direction of Mr. Madoff for a major part of the firm's investment advisory business. That part of the business purported to invest client funds in the basket of stocks from the S & P 100 hedged by options transactions. In fact, the evidence would demonstrate that Mr. DiPascali, Mr. Madoff, and others knew that no stocks or options were being purchased as had been promised to investors.

Mr. Madoff, Mr. DiPascali, and other co-conspirators made it appear as though clients' investments with the firm were profitable by sending those clients literally millions of pages of false account statements and trade confirmations through the U.S. mails. Those account statements and trade confirmations reported or purported to report transactions that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Plea

had been made up using historical price data and with the 1 benefit of hindsight. None of the reported transactions were 2 real.

In later years when revenues from other parties of Mr. Madoff's business declined, Mr. Madoff and Mr. DiPascali wired hundreds of millions of dollars of invested funds to a bank account in London and sent some of that money back to New York, New York to an operating account that funded other parties of Mr. Madoff's business. Among the uses of interstate wires -- I should say Mr. DiPascali used interstate wires in connection with the fraud both in speaking with investors located outside New York as well as to transfer money to and from bank accounts in New York, New York.

The government also would have proven that to conceal the fraud and to deceive the SEC under the direction of Mr. Madoff, Mr. DiPascali and other co-conspirators created false books and records, records that were required to be kept and maintained by the firm and were required by SEC regulation to contain true data.

THE COURT: Can I ask -- perhaps I should have asked Mr. DiPascali this -- is Mr. DiPascali an advisement adviser or broker/dealer licensed to do these things, or the firm does and he worked with the firm?

MR. LITT: He is not. He worked for the firm. the aiding and abetting charges in the information cover that.

THE COURT:

Plea

Thank you.

1

2

3 4

5 6

7

8

10

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LITT: As I was saying for the books and records, SEC rules and regulations require the firm to keep various books and records both in its capacity as a broker/dealer and as an investment adviser and Mr. Madoff, Mr. DiPascali, and others caused false and fraudulent records to be created, some of which were presented to the SEC as well. They did that to conceal the fraud and conceal some of the activities that the firm was engaged in.

At Mr. Madoff's direction Mr. DiPascali also committed perjury in sworn testimony from the SEC in New York. There are several instances of that set forth under the indictment.

THE COURT: Information.

MR. LITT: Sorry.

THE COURT: I did it before, too.

MR. LITT: In sum that false testimony disguised the nature and scale of the investment advisory business that the firm was engaged in.

Finally, the defendant attempted to evade federal income taxes by taking income through a nominee LLC, limited liability corporation, in which he controlled in failing to declare and pay taxes on that income.

THE COURT: Do you have a view with respect to venue on that count, Count Ten?

MR. LITT: I think the transfer of the money from New

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 -

24

25

Plea

York, New York probably is sufficient; but I think out of an abundance of caution I think a waiver of venue is appropriate and covers venue.

THE COURT: Is a waiver of venue contained in the agreement between the parties on Count Ten?

MR. LITT: It is not.

THE COURT: So that would be something that Mr.

DiPascali has agreed to waive venue on Count Ten but it is not contained in the agreement?

MR. LITT: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. DiPascali, did you hear what Mr. Litt just said.

THE DEFENDANT: I have.

THE COURT: Do you disagree or take issue with any of his characterization of the facts or the evidence in this case?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir, I don't.

THE COURT: Have a seat.

At this time I had put out a couple sign-in sheets before to provide for victims if they wish to be heard on the issue of whether or not the plea should be accepted and the plea agreement should be accepted, and if they wish to speak later on the issue of bail and remand. I had one victim sign the sheet to be heard as to whether or not the plea and plea agreement should be accepted. That is Miriam seed man.

Is Ms. Seed man here?

98B6DIP Plea 1 Ms. Seed man, would you still like to be heard on this 2 issue. 3 MS. SIEGMAN: I would. 4 THE COURT: Come up and use the lecturn if you don't While I am here, if there is any other victim who wishes 5 to be heard on this issue, and this issue only, who did not get 6 a chance to sign, perhaps you can raise your hand now and I can 7 8 send the sheet your way. 9 Is there anyone? 10 Let the record reflect that there is no other person here in the courtroom has signified that they wish to speak as 11 a victim with respect to the issue of whether or not the Court 12 should accept the plea and the plea agreement. 13 Ms. Siegman, identify yourself for the record, speak 14 slowly, spell your name too, and then I am happy to hear you. 15 MS. SIEGMAN: Thank you, Judge Sullivan. 16 17 Can you hear me? 18 THE COURT: I can. 19 MS. SIEGMAN: My name is Miriam Siegman. last name, S-i-e-g-m-a-n. 20 21 THE COURT: I probably mispronounced your name. 22 apologize.

MS. SIEGMAN: That's fine.

23

24

25

I am a 65-year-old Madoff victim now penniless and facing homelessness. I stand before you, Judge Sullivan, to

Plea

ask that you consider rejecting this deal.

Criminal defendants in the Madoff case central to this murderous fraud want to cut deals by swapping information,

naming names in exchange for lighter sentences. That, of course, is their right. To some victims, not all, but to some this behind-closed-doors bargaining seems to depend heavily on

the quality and connectedness of the defendants' lawyers and a quest for expediency.

I ask, and others as well: Should these factors trump the victims and the public's need for the truth, the full truth that could come of a trial or the chance for victims to hear in open court evidence, witnesses' questioned, and cross-examined, or the chance to have brought before the mighty power of the Bench even the most exalted, the most highly placed, including government officials, and elected officials?

Has it been decided somewhere that a trial is too great a luxury, too much of an expense for the public quest for truth, too time consuming and bothersome or politically unpleasant?

Why, Judge Sullivan, am I asking you to consider my request to reject a deal? The crimes allegedly committed by Mr. DiPascali and already admitted to by Mr. Madoff, Mr. DiPascali's boss of 30 years, are enormous in scope. These crimes have affected thousands of men, women, and children, whole generations of families have been decimated, children,

8.

Plea

parents, dependents who are ill. None of these victims knows how or why this has happened to them. The defendants won't say a word until today, even then very little, and the prosecutors have said very little to victims.

The alleged crimes were vast and systematic, executed with great attention to detail. The result total: Total destruction of normal daily life now and likely forever for thousands of us, certainly for me. Dazed, we are told that we have no need to know how the crimes against us were carried out. Today, a few tasty tidbits were thrown out into the court and I could see every snap to attention with a genuine interest in hearing those details and the need to know them.

Then there is the astonishing duration of the crime.

Mr. DiPascali was an employee of Madoff for 30 -- well over 30 years or around 30 years. The criminal enterprise went and on.

He, of course, had ample opportunity to do the right thing.

Victims have no idea how this could have been possible, this long duration. Though, there are hints of horrendous dereliction of duty within and outside of government and in the street. One sentence about lying to the SEC doesn't tell the story.

There is also the corrupting ripple effect of Mr.

DiPascali's alleged activities, activities which in the view of many victims encouraged and enabled criminal activity on the part of others, but we have learned nothing about how or why

Plea

and likely we will learn nothing about how or why.

And Finally, and perhaps the most troubling of all for me, the possible purchase of influence with the goal of protecting Madoff from investigation and legislation. Victims, and as importantly the American public, the little guy with a 401K or pension desperately need light to shine on this process. Victims want more than confirmation. They need information and knowledge. We want the kind of justice that allows the truth to be spoken out loud in a courtroom and we want to know that prosecutors will not conveniently pass over the too highly placed.

The crimes committed against me and others are life-shattering and they are forever. I want no others to suffer in this way. Judge Sullivan, you have the power to show the American people that justice works for victims and society as a whole as well as for defendants. Take the process out from behind closed doors and reject this plea deal.

In closing, if in the end you cannot, will you or someone help me and others victims understand why and how a plea deal will help them and the American public and allow men and women who run our public institutions to learn from this tragedy.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Siegman. I appreciate the time and attention you obviously put into preparing those

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

remarks.

Plea

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Is there any other victim that wishes to be heard?

Let me ask Mr. Litt or Mr. Mukasey if they wish to respond to anything that Ms. Siegman just said?

MR. LITT: Not at this time, your Honor, no.

THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey.

MR. MUKASEY: Simply that I think the allocution was sufficient for acceptance by the Court, Judge.

THE COURT: Ms. Siegman, I am certainly sensitive to the points you've made, but I think there is a difference between a criminal trial and a truth commission, which each may have their benefits to be sure. But I think a criminal trail is less ambitious than a truth commission.

Mr. Mukasey I think is correct in saying that the allocution that Mr. DiPascali gave is sufficient under the law and so the issue for me is there something manifestly unjust about the plea agreement or the plea that has been offered here. I don't believe that the request for the truth ends today. Certainly sentencing will not take place for several months. But before imposing sentence, I would expect to have more information than what I've heard today and what you've heard today. So I expect there will be more information and the Court will sentence on the basis of additional information. I assume that to be the case.

So in light of those remarks I will, I believe, accept

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Plea

the plea. I have a few other things I would like to take up with Mr. DiPascali. I am certainly sensitive to your concerns, which I think are probably the concerns of many other people as well. Thank you for your time.

Let's me ask you now to rise again, Mr. DiPascali.

How do you now plead to Counts One through Ten of the information, guilty or not guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you do the things you are charged with doing in the information?

THE DEFENDANT: Indeed I did.

THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty because you are guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty voluntarily and of your own free will?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. DiPascali, because you acknowledge that you are guilty as charged in Counts One through Ten of the information, because you know your rights and are waiving those rights, because your plea is entered knowingly and voluntarily and is supported by an independent basis in fact for each of the elements of the offenses charged in the information, I accept your guilty plea and I adjudge you guilty on Counts One through Ten of the information.

	98B6DIP Plea
1	You may have a seat.
2	Mr. Litt.
3	MR. LITT: I would ask if the Court could inquire
4	about whether the defendant Mr. DiPascali admits to the
5	forfeiture allegations.
6	THE COURT: Fair enough.
7	Mr. DiPascali, let me also ask you about the
8	forfeiture allegations. There are two forfeiture allegations
9	in the information.
10	You indicated you have read them both, is that
11	correct?
12	THE DEFENDANT: That's correct.
13	THE COURT: Do you admit the facts that are contained
14	in each of the allegations?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
16	THE COURT: Is that sufficient, Mr. Litt?
17	MR. LITT: Yes, it is.
18	THE COURT: Thank you.
19	Let's talk about sentencing now. The parties
20	requested the sentencing be put over for a number of months in
21	light of Mr. DiPascali's cooperation and had requested that I
22	set a control date in May of 2010.
23	Is that still the position of the parties?
24	MR. LITT: It is of the government, your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey.
í	

Plea

1 MR. MUKASEY: It is the position of the defense, 2 Judge. 3 THE COURT: Tell me what exactly it is that you have in mind? So by that date I would get another submission or 4 letter from the parties, or by that date we would have 5 6 presumably a sentencing unless I adjourned it? 7 MR. LITT: Well, I think you would get a letter from 8 the parties, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: On that date or a date before that date? 10 MR. LITT: On that date. 11 THE COURT: On that date. You expect that the 12 cooperation will be going for at least that long? 13 MR. LITT: Yes, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Or approximately that long? 15 MR. LITT: I would say at least. THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey, that is your position as 16 17 well? 18 MR. MUKASEY: Yes, Judge. 19 THE COURT: May 15th. I will expect a letter from the government apprising the Court as to whether or not it is 20 21 prepared to go forward with sentencing, and if not proposing 22 another control date. Obviously Mr. Mukasey should be CC'd on 23 any correspondence. 24 Let's talk about bail pending sentencing. 25 Section 3143(a) provides that a defendant shall be detained

Plea

following a plea or conviction, unless the judicial officer finds by clear and convincing evidence that the person is not likely to flee. This is for the period between a jury's verdict or in the case of today a guilty plea and the sentencing.

So I had also had sign-in sheet to hear from any victims who wish to be heard on this sheet. No one has signed that sheet.

Does anyone wish to be heard with respect to bail or remand?

The parties have submitted a letter, which I referenced earlier. Bear with me while I am looking for it. I remember it well. It provided for a bond of \$400,000 -- excuse me, \$2.5 million to be secured by three financially responsible persons, to be secured with property of \$400,000 which would in essence be the equity value in the home of Mr. DiPascali's sister, surrender of all travel documents with no new applications permitted, and travel restricted to the Southern District of New York, Eastern District of New York, and here it says the District of Pennsylvania but Pennsylvania has more than one district, as well as regular pretrial supervision.

Is that the position of the parties?

MR. LITT: We meant to say the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and the District of New Jersey, which is where the defendant lives.

Plea

That's right.

I see. Anything else that the parties

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MUKASEY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey.

MR. LITT: No, your Honor.

MR. MUKASEY:

THE COURT:

wish to say on this?

MR. MUKASEY: By agreement with Mr. Litt, subject to approval of the Court, we would ask for one week from today until August 18th to satisfy those bail conditions if they are acceptable to your Honor.

THE COURT: I am not sure they are. I should state that up front. Following a jury's verdict or following a guilty plea there is a presumption that the defendant will be detained, remanded pending sentencing. That is for a variety of reasons. That is the Bail Reform Act of 1984. I think everyone at the front two tables understands that.

So I want to explore that. It seems to me that this defendant has ample incentive to flee. I understand he is cooperating with the government, but the defendant is 52 years old. He is facing a maximum term of imprisonment of 125 years. Although the plea agreement has no guidelines calculation, I certainly have done an admittedly quick and dirty guidelines calculation. But based on just the fraud counts, it seems to me that the guidelines calculation comes out at a fairly astronomical place based on the amount of the loss involved,

Plea

based on the abuse of trust that was involved in the course of the schemes to which Mr. DiPascali admitted.

So it seems to me under the guidelines, even with the acceptance the guidelines would call for a range of mandatory life. Now, because the maximum sentence is not life but 125 years, under the guidelines before considering cooperation, before considering the Section 3553(a) factors, the guidelines would be recommending a life term. So that is certainly serious, serious consequences facing Mr. DiPascali.

Now, the bail package proposed here by normal standards would seem pretty considerable. There is 2.5 million dollar bond that would have three financially responsible persons and property that is valued -- at least the equity value is \$400,000. So by most standards that would be a pretty large package. But that amount is completely dwarfed by the amount of restitution and forfeiture in this case. \$170 billion is what the plea agreement provides for Mr. DiPascali to forfeit. So it would seem to me that a 2.5 million dollar bond thrown on top of that mountain doesn't count for much.

Now, the next argument would be that the financially responsible persons, the co-signers and Mr. DiPascali's sister would have some moral suasion over him, that he would be disinclined to flee or do anything that might put them at risk, and only that might be persuasive. But in this case there are thousands of victims who many of them lost more than \$2.5

Plea

million. So the fact that three more victims might be thrown on top of a long list of victims doesn't strike me as a terribly compelling basis to believe that Mr. DiPascali would be deterred from engaging in conduct that would constitute a violation of the terms of his bail or flight.

Now, the penalties for bail jumping are by most human standards considerable. It is five years' imprisonment, maximum, with a two-level enhancement for obstruction of justice on top of the guidelines calculation in this case. But here it would be again virtually meaningless. It would expand the maximum penalty from 125 years to 130 years. It would expand the guidelines calculation from what I think looks like a level 46 to a level 48. And for those of you unfamiliar with the guidelines level 43 is life. So you can't do much more than that.

So in light of this, the package strikes me as fairly symbolic and not terribly onerous in light of the other facts in this case. So it seems to me that it is really we are on an honor system. I am being asked to believe that Mr. DiPascali is not going to run away because he has turned his life around and that I should credit his statements here today that he is sorry for what he has done and he is committed to making amends to the best of his ability, which I can understand the sentiment. But the fact of the matter is the defendant's conduct which is admitted today doesn't give me great

confidence on that store.

Plea

Mr. DiPascali has admitted to a 20-year period of fraud in which he committed perjury to the SEC under oath. He maintained and manufactured false books and records that were designed to mislead regulators and auditors. He issued by his own account and the government's account literally thousands or even millions of statements to investors that were designed to mislead them and lull them into maintaining investments they had made or increasing the investments that they had made. The money laundering that is set forth in one of the accounts describes a fairly massive-scale scheme that continued as recently as December of 2008.

So I think all of that suggests to me that Mr.

DiPascali is not a good bet. I think the argument that I

anticipate is that, Well, Mr. DiPascali understands that if he

violates the terms of his bail then his cooperation agreement

will be ripped up and any hope he would have for a sentence

below the guidelines would be greatly diminished. I understand

that as well. But I don't think it would be irrational for a

defendant faced with the kind of sentence that Mr. DiPascali is

facing to decide that maybe cooperation is not going to do it.

So all of this basically leads me to the statute, back to the statute which is that I need to be persuaded as the judicial officer that there is clearing and convincing evidence that he is not going to flee and at this point I am not really

Plea

1 | there.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So let me hear from counsel if they want to be heard on this. Mr. Mukasey.

MR. MUKASEY: Thirty seconds, Judge, to confer?
THE COURT: Certainly.

(Pause)

MR. MUKASEY: Judge, if I could be heard on the issue.

THE COURT: Certainly.

MR. MUKASEY: Mr. DiPascali, your Honor, has known that he has been under investigation that could put him in jail for the rest of his life since December 11th, 2008. At that time he was served with a grand jury subpoena. He has known that this day was coming for probably eight months.

THE COURT: Can I interrupt you?

Because it seems to me that based on what has been described to me is that Mr. DiPascali must have known that this house of cards was going to come crashing down for years but it didn't prevent him from doing what he did up until December of 2008.

MR. MUKASEY: As Mr. DiPascali mentioned, Judge, I think that he always thought that there would be a safe landing for many investors and it wasn't until really the end that he learned the full truth of this.

I would like to address my comments really to his ties to the community and why I think notwithstanding your guideline

Plea

analysis, which is pretty darn accurate, and notwithstanding the really cataclysmic nature of the fraud here, I think Mr. DiPascali is a clear and convincing bet to return to court.

He has known he has been under investigation for the better part of nine months. He has been speaking with the U.S. Attorney's Office. He has been following the guidance by the FBI. I am not shy to say that I believe he has established a relationship with the agents of trust. He is where he is supposed to be when they ask him to be there. He has been at every proffer, at every meeting, and every location that he is supposed to be at. He understands that he has but one way to ever see the light of day and that is to satisfy the government that he is trustworthy person.

I think the government is signing him up to the cooperation agreement says something about their trust in him. He understands that he has got miles to go in terms of providing substantial assistance. He is here today and he has known he was going to be here today to try to reach that goal. He understands that he is working his way down from probably a life sentence. I anticipate Mr. DiPascali, and I think the government would back me up on this, to be a cooperator in a white-collared case in a historic nature, somebody who can pull the curtain back on a fraud and answer a lot of questions that Ms. Siegman wants to be answered and the whole world wants to be answered.

Plea

We would not have gone through what was really a grueling process to convince the government that he was a person worthy of its trust if we didn't want to see this through to the end. Mr. DiPascali does want to see it through to the end and that is why he came here and admitted in open court a fraud of 30 years or the better part of 20 or 30 years.

Let me talk a little bit, Judge, about Mr. DiPascali's background. He has in Bridgewater, New Jersey, four children. He comes from an extremely close family. In fact, this is the first time I have ever seen him discuss the fraud without breaking down and crying. He is an emotional person. He is not a person that would ever do anything to harm his family. I think that really in the back of his mind he wasn't convinced that investors would be hurt here. Of course they could have been. I am not sure he was convinced that they would have been had Mr. Madoff actually had assets to back this up.

Let me tell you a little bit about each of Mr.

DiPascali's kids and the relationship he has with them as well
has his siblings. He has a daughter today who is starting

Brooklyn Law School. Yesterday he went with her to move her
in. He is incredibly close with her.

He has three sons -- Frank, Jr., Greg, and Mike. The two young ones live at home. Mr. DiPascali is their world and he is their world. He supports his mother who is 77 years old and also lives in Bridgewater with one of his sisters. Then he

Plea

has the other sister who is willing to post her house to show her confidence in Mr. DiPascali.

I think that if the amount of the bond were raised and perhaps if we could somehow find additional security that that could be thrown on to the pile as well. There is not a bail package in the universe that is not without some risk, but I think this is a bail package that can be fashioned into a bail package that gives the Court comfort, like the government has comfort in Mr. DiPascali.

It is worth pointing out that since January Mr. DiPascali has been operating under, to use your term, the honor system with the government. They have never frozen his assets. They never seized his bank accounts. He voluntarily turned over to the U.S. Marshal some of his property. He is prepared to turn over his property. He has been operating since January on really a letter agreement with the government regarding his spending knowing that he would be ultimately subject to a forfeiture order. He has not violated the government's trust once.

Every month we report to the government the amount of money he is spending. They are keeping him on a tight leash and he is abiding by that. He has almost no assets that are not forfeitable. So as soon as the government moves to freeze the bank accounts and seize the bank accounts, he is not going to have disposable income. He doesn't have an ability to flee.

Plea

He doesn't have anywhere to go frankly. We turned over his passport. My office has kept the passport for months.

To the extent it is worth knowing, he has put hundreds of hours into preparing to proffer with the government, learning about what it means to be a government witness, learning what it means to accept responsibility and hopefully get a 5K1 letter because he wants it not because he wants to take off. He takes care of his mother. The Pretrial Services officer asked this morning, Do you speak to your mother and your sisters on a weekly basis? And he said, No, much more than that. He speaks to them not on a daily basis but sometimes on a multiple-times-in-a-day basis.

It sounds maybe odd stacked up against this fraud, but he is a family person. He doesn't travel. He doesn't go on lavish vacations. He is a homebody. He wants to cause no more pain to his kids. He sat in our office a couple nights ago explaining to his family the possibilities and the consequences. He has been straight up with them, he has been straight up with the government.

You can take this bet, Judge, Mr. DiPascali is here to do the right thing. He wants the 5K letter. It would be inane for him to flee and leave his family with nothing as it is. They are going to have to endure very, very rough times. I don't think he thinks about it in terms of, Well, I am facing

Plea

life in prison, what is another two years if I get a bail jumping charge. He thinks of it in the opposite way, which is I am facing life in prison and I better show up every darn day if I am to avoid anything but that.

That is why we entered into the cooperation agreement.

That is why we hope Mr. DiPascali can satisfy the Miriam

That is why we hope Mr. DiPascali can satisfy the Miriam
Siegmans of the world and the government and this Court. I
don't want to put anybody on the spot. I think the FBI agents
would speak to diligence and his compliance and his ability to
be trusted to continue to work with them. I think they would
establish a very good relationship with him, professional and
arm's length but very trusting. You can imagine the hill that
the government faced in offering him a cooperation agreement.
They I think came at this perhaps at the beginning with the
same scepticism that your Honor does and he won them over. If
your Honor releases him on an appropriate bail package, he will
win your Honor over with trust and with compliance.

May I have one moment, Judge?

THE COURT: Certainly.

(Pause)

MR. MUKASEY: Thank you for hearing me, Judge.

THE COURT: Mr. Litt, anything you want to add?

MR. LITT: I would ask a couple of points that I think your Honor anticipated in the arguments. Mr. Mukasey hit on some of them.

Plea

Mr. DiPascali, we do believe has known since very early on -- December 11th, 12th -- that he was a key player in this and he was under investigation and has not fled. He has always cooperated with the government to date and appeared when called upon to do so. He showed up today knowing what the consequences of his actions today would be and certainly deserves credit for that.

He does appear to be close to his family and he does have significant ties to the community. The plea agreement that he has entered into gives him every incentive to appear and to try to fulfill the terms of that agreement because to do otherwise would likely confine him to the rest of his life in jail.

THE COURT: If he flees and you were able to get him back.

MR. LITT: Yes. That's right, your Honor.

With respect to the bail package and amount, the reason why there is less securities than there is in some other cases there might have been is related to the forfeiture issue and the fact that most of Mr. DiPascali's assets are subject to forfeiture. And over the coming weeks we expect to be presenting preliminary orders or forfeiture to your Honor which may be submitted any time prior to sentencing to start the process of accomplishing that. We have already to date confiscated some of Mr. DiPascali's assets.

Plea

Finally, the government believes that the assistance, if we did not believe that he could provide substantial assistance to the government, we wouldn't have entered into this agreement. We do believe that he can provide substantial assistance and we believe that his ability to do so would be hampered were he to be detained.

THE COURT: Why is that?

MR. LITT: This is a very documented intensive investigation, among other things. There are literally

MR. LITT: This is a very documented intensive investigation, among other things. There are literally millions of pages of documents and data and computer equipment and the like that it will be very beneficial were he to be able to have access to provide the substantial assistance that we expect he will be able to do.

I think some of the concerns that your Honor has can be addressed in part through electronic monitoring and home detention if your Honor thinks that would add such an additional layer of surety about flight. There are flaws with that as your Honor well knows. There is no substitute in terms of assuring somebody's presence in court other than incarceration, but the government certainly believes that given his connections to the community, again his record with the government to date, the fact that he has not fled in the last eight months, the fact that he showed up today and admitted his guilt, exposed himself to 125 years of incarceration, that he has three family members who would be tremendously harmed were

Plea

he to flee if they were approved as cosigners on the package, that those things even without home detention and electronic monitoring in the government's view provide clear and convincing evidence in this case, and every case must be viewed on its own facts, to reasonably assure clear and convincing evidence that Mr. DiPascali would appear when required.

Certainly those factors when necessary combined with home detention and electronic monitoring would do that.

THE COURT: Mr. Litt, I am looking at a submission you made to me in another case, which you reminded me that the Bail Reform Act of 1984 creates no general expectation of post verdict liberty. To the contrary, it establishes as a presumption in favor of detention. You then went on to remind me that "the interest in detaining defendants who have been found guilty beyond a reasonable doubt of such crimes also includes the need to encourage general respect for the law by signaling that a guilty person will not be able to avoid or delay imposition and service of the sentence prescribed by law."

So, look, let me say this: I have great respect for the lawyers in this room. I know them. I think they are good at what they do and I have great respect for them. Clearly they have taken the positions they take because they believe them and I don't disregard that lightly. On the other hand, I think everybody recognizes that each of us has an independent

Plea

1 role to play in this process.

Mr. Litt and Mr. Mukasey have focused on what Mr. DiPascali has done since December of 2008. I keep focusing on what he did for 20 or 30 years before that. I keep thinking of how many people put their trust in Mr. DiPascali and have lived to regret it deeply and I am frankly reluctant to put my trust in Mr. DiPascali. I don't see why he would anymore respect the oath he would take on a bail package than he would respect the oath he took in front of the SEC, another arm of the government. So I think we may have disagreement on this one.

I am not persuaded. Maybe I haven't heard enough about how remand would affect his ability to cooperate with the government. I think there are lots of individuals who are in custody who can still cooperate very effectively and work with law enforcement agents very effectively. On this record, in the length of time that this conspiracy went on, again the amount and nature of the misrepresentations to clients, to government entities, to auditors, I just cannot find by clear and convincing evidence that Mr. DiPascali does not pose a risk of flight. I just can't do it.

MR. MUKASEY: Judge, if I could add some facts to the record that might help persuade you that he is a trustworthy defendant. In terms of your concern about how he would be hampered from cooperating were he detained, not only he is going to cooperate with the U.S. Attorney's Office and with the

Plea

FBI, I can tell you from having been in these proffers, it is an extremely onerous process involving computers and documents and account statements and it requires the sort of 8-hour, 10-hour, 12-hour sessions that you just cannot have when you are remanded.

He is also going to be cooperating with the SEC, with the IRS, with any agency that wishes to speak with him, and we hope and we believe there will be a number of agencies both inside New York and outside New York that wish to speak with him. We've discussed among ourselves the Massachusetts Attorney General has been very, very active in this case.

Obviously he is a U.S. Attorney's Office cooperator, but I would hope that when the Madoff cases are going to spring up all over the country, Mr. DiPascali will be at least a very valuable witness to debrief to understand the operations and he is not a testifying witness. There are civil lawsuits that he may be able to help people out. I think he is going to be working chiefly with the FBI and SEC here in New York, but he can and is ready, willing and able to work with these other agencies.

The SEC of course have their limitations on where they can do and when they can go and when Mr. DiPascali can go if he were detained. I think it would seriously hinder his ability to work with the SEC. Part of what the world wants to know here is how did the SEC fail to catch this for lack of a better

Plea

phrase and just to use the terms of discussion of the day. I don't know the case that your Honor read back Mr. Litt's language to him but I would ask the Court consider whether that was a case of cooperation.

THE COURT: It was not.

MR. MUKASEY: And you make a good point obviously about --

THE COURT: You have to say that, Mr. Mukasey.

MR. MUKASEY: I am not saying I agree with everything. You make a good point about how do trust a guy who basically has been a fraudster for 25 years. Here is the answer: He lived in a universe for 25 years that he doesn't live in anymore. On December 11th he exited that universe. Once he got out of that universe -- by the way that universe was twisted and it was perverted, and it was almost impossible for somebody who wasn't living in that universe to understand. It was an alternative reality. It was not the kind of conspiracy where a bunch of people are down in the dungeon plotting how to rip off innocent old ladies. It wasn't like that.

Mr. DiPascali started with Mr. Madoff when he was 18 or 19 years old. He didn't know the way things run at Goldman Sachs. He didn't know the way things run at Morgan Stanley. So sat and watched and he learned and listened and at some point after several years I think a light went off, I think he said to himself, This is kind of a bizarre universe but this is

Plea

my universe. This is what Bernie tells me to do and this is what I am doing. By the way no one is going to get hurt at the end because Bernie Madoff has been telling me he has assets abroad and in real estate and in commodities that are going to make sure that all the clients' money will be able to be returned.

So he wasn't out there sort of ripping and robbing and stealing as you might think of it. He is guilty? 1,000 percent. No question about it. There is no way we could have a trial in this matter. He is absolutely guilty. He was living in a universe creating fake trade tickets and creating fake trade blotters. It is the way you did things. It was okay because Bernie was going to take care of it. Don't worry, Bernie will take care of it. That is how he went to sleep at night. That was the universe he was living in for 25 years, 20 years.

On December 11th he came to my office shaking, crying out of that universe. He stepped out of that universe and stepped into the real kind of world, the world that Ms. Siegman lives in, I live in, you live in. The world where you cannot create a fake trade ticket and say, Don't worry it is okay because no one is going to get hurt here. He realizes now, he is out of that universe. He is in a universe with laws and rules and regulations and oaths and promises and trusts.

I am happy to tell you that we had to knock hard on

Plea

the door of the U.S. Attorney's Office to get Mr. DiPascali in there because they originally have the same -- I don't want to speak for them. I would imagine prosecutors in the real world have the same degree of scepticism. The guy was a fraudster for 25 years. How could I trust him? How can I put him on the witness stand? Well, you know what? He earned their trust. He now I think has their trust. Because he stepped out of the universe, the one that you are focusing on, the one that said you have wanton disregard for these victims and you have a 20-year history of fraud.

He is in a new world where he talks to the FBI agents almost every day. They were at his house yesterday. He goes

He is in a new world where he talks to the FBI agents almost every day. They were at his house yesterday. He goes to the U.S. Attorney's Office at his job. It is going be his full-time job. Never has never missed an appearance. He comes early. He stays late. He goes outside to smoke and gets a class of water. Otherwise he is in there looking at records, explaining history.

So you are right there was a world that he is going get punished for. He doesn't live in that world anymore. Now he is in this world. Now he is in the world where he has got to live up to what he says and he has to tell the truth or he is going to go right back to that world where the only other inhabitant is Bernie Madoff spending 150 years in prison.

THE COURT: I understand the arguments and the sincerity of what you are saying and what Mr. Litt is saying

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Plea

that you have each reached a conclusion that Mr. DiPascali 1 would do that. Perhaps you know him better than I. 2 think I can overlook the conduct that he admitted to today, which I think coupled with the seriousness of the penalties that he is looking at I think provides ample incentive to flee if cooperation doesn't look like it is going to pan out the way he thought, or as he gets closer to the day of sentencing that the harsh realities of a sentence for this conduct starts staring him in the face. I think in light of all facts and all the conduct in this case and in light that Mr. DiPascali has made false statements to the SEC and to others and in light of the fact for decades he made false statements to people that entrusted him with their life savings -- I am not going to trust him with his life savings. I am just hoping he shows up People entrusted him with the life savings. unpersuaded respectfully. MR. MUKASEY: Perhaps if we can add some heft to the

bail package, something such as home detention.

THE COURT: Well, look, I don't rule out the possibility of the parties to make another motion. Based on what is before me today and based on the proposal that has been made jointly by the parties for bail, I am going to deny that I am going to remand Mr. DiPascali. It not designed to be punitive. Time for sentencing is later. It is designed really I think to meet the objectives of the statute in light

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Plea

of the facts as I understand them.

Mr. DiPascali, it may not be what you wanted or expected today but --

MR. MUKASEY: May I have one moment to discuss one matter with the government?

THE COURT: Certainly.

(Pause)

MR. LITT: Your Honor, if I could at the risk of trotting over ground that we've covered, first with respect to the brief that your Honor mentioned that, as your Honor knows, was in a case following three and a half years of intense litigation and a nine-week trial, not a cooperator.

THE COURT: A man whose fraud, total fraud was tiny in comparison to this defendant's, right.

MR. LITT: Yes. Absolutely, your Honor.

THE COURT: I don't want anyone to be in the dark here. I am referring to Mr. Litt's submission in the case of United States v. Alberto Villar, 05 CR 621.

MR. LITT: That's right. I guess what I am having difficulty articulating is it is essential I think is that we believe that Mr. DiPascali's cooperation, what he wants to do, what he says he wants to do will be hampered if we --

THE COURT: You have to take him out in order to bring him to the FBI. Look, we are all experienced with cooperators. We all know there are many cooperators who are in custody who

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1125.0088

Plea

1 2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are able to cooperate and meet with law enforcement officials and engage in cooperation. He is not doing anything activity. He is not wearing a wire and going out. I am not sure I am persuaded that he needs to be out to be able to effectively cooperate.

MR. LITT: The documents involved in this case fill a half a floor of a New York office building and about 6,000 boxes in a warehouse and a computer server that was dedicated in large part to the investment advisory activities, a computer that Mr. DiPascali has a certain amount of specialized knowledge about, a server that has proprietary software, that is ancient by modern standards in terms of technology and the operating system and the like. The records and the unraveling of what happened in this case over decades requires looking through exactly what I just described, a half of a floor of an office building.

In going through this process, and I will just speak in hypotheticals, to present a document to a witness that triggers a recollection of something else. It is one thing to be able to walk across the room or pull a file and present that file in realtime and through that process get to the bottom of what happened and a very small piece of what happened in this case then it would be to do that process through the cumbersome circumstance of Mr. DiPascali's being incarcerated.

I think it will just be a lot more efficient, the

Plea

government will be able to get Mr. DiPascali's cooperation much more fully, completely, efficiently, and quicker if he is out.

I would just urge the Court --

THE COURT: Let's me interrupt you, though. That is not really the consideration of 3143. It doesn't say or if the government thinks it would be more convenient to have a person out. So clearly the government has reached a conclusion. And I don't mean this disrespectfully. Clearly you reached a determination that bail is appropriate. I understand that. I am not persuaded by clear and convincing evidence that Mr. DiPascali is going to be here at the time of sentencing given the monumental sentence he is facing and given the amount of cooperation that is going to be needed to put a dent into that sentence.

So, look, I don't think there is much more that you folks can say today that is going to persuade me. If you want to make another submission, I will consider it. But on the basis of the facts as I have laid them out, I am not prepared to agree to the bail package that you have all proposed.

MR. MUKASEY: Understood that the current bail package on the table needs to be withdrawn and obviously I am told needs some more energy and some more heft. Judge, we were obviously surprised by your Monor's take on this and what I would propose is to allow us to brief this issue and or -- I guess the law is pretty clear. I am not sure how much briefing

Plea

there is going to be, but Mr. DiPascali's family is completely unprepared for this. He is completely unprepared for this. He is the financial provider to his family. I frankly didn't recognize --

THE COURT: Is he working, though?

MR. MUKASEY: No. But I think he is certainly taking care of his family, the four kids and the girl in law school and the boys that are home for the summer. I think that we can probably work out a package if your Honor were to give us 48 hours, 72 hours that was strict, that satisfied your Honor of his ties to the community.

I agree that cooperation is not one of the 3142, 43 prongs. However, I think it bears some thinking about really how he will be able to cooperator or not cooperate if he is remanded, and probably satisfy your Honor with a package that includes home detention, strict Pretrial Services reporting, perhaps less travel, and a lot more for those he loves to lose.

THE COURT: I am reacting to what I have in front of me now. The statute is pretty clear that unless I make the finding that I am not prepared to make, Mr. DiPascali is remanded. So I am going to order his remand without prejudice to renewing a motion whenever you see fit with whatever submissions you think appropriate.

Mr. DiPascali, we have not set a sentencing date for you. That is because it has been represented to me that your

Plea

cooperation will continue for some time. We have a control date in May. Then perhaps we will have more information as to when we will go forward with sentencing. I want to make sure you understand about sentencing, however. As I said before, the Probation Department will prepare a report, a presentence report, that will be quite extensive. They will interview a number of people, including the government to get more information about the offenses that are in the information, and also interview you, among others. So I would ask that you be cooperative with the Probation Department as they prepare that report.

Mr. Mukasey, I assume you wish to be present for any interview?

MR. MUKASEY: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: I will direct that no interview is to take place unless Mr. Mukasey is present. So if they show up to interview you and Mr. Mukasey is not there, you remind them that I told you not to go forward. If Mr. Mukasey directs you not for answer certain questions, listen to him, but don't make any false statements to the Probation Department. If you were to do that, it could be a separate offense or an enhancement for obstruction of justice. So I think that would serve no one's interest. Be as cooperative as you can be.

Mr. Mukasey.

MR. MUKASEY: I am going to back to the bail issue. I

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1125.0092

1125.0093

98B6DIP

Plea

think that it might persuade your Honor what Mr. DiPascali is looking forward to in terms of his cooperation and the ties that he has to his family and the community and the cooperation that he has already given to the government is perhaps if I were allowed to ask the FBI Agent Keith Kelley some questions that -
THE COURT: Asking here in open court, you mean?

MR. MUKASEY: Yeah. I don't want to do anything that will put anybody on the spot, but I think there is a relationship of trust here that can be considered a tie to the

relationship of trust here that can be considered a tie to the community, in addition with the FBI and the government, which I think Special Agent Kelley would shed some light on, in addition to the very, very close ties Mr. DiPascali has with his family.

THE COURT: I am not sure what you are asking me. Are you asking me to put Mr. Kelley on the stand and let you examine him?

(Pause)

THE COURT: Counsel.

Mr. Mukasey.

MR. MUKASEY: I am going to withdraw that application.

THE COURT: If you folks want to renew the application, you can do so. I think I've explained what my concerns are and what the burden is.

Is there anything else we need to cover today,

98B6DIP Plea 1 Mr. Litt? 2 MR. LITT: No, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey? MR. MUKASEY: If I can have just one moment? 4 5 (Pause) MR. MUKASEY: Nothing further, Judge. 6 7 Thank you all. I will hear from you May THE COURT: 15th if not before then. I appreciate all your time. Thank 8 you to the court reporter, the marshals, and all the victims 9 10 who came as well. 11 000 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

## Exhibit 36

```
1
      166dlipp
                             PLEA
 1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 2
      UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 3
                                             New York, N.Y.
 3
 4
                v.
                                             S3 10 Cr. 228 (LTS)
 4
     ERIC S. LIPKIN,
 5
 5
 6
                    Defendant.
 6
 7
      ----x
 7
 8
 8
                                             June 6, 2011
 9
                                             11:11 a.m.
 9
10
10
     Before:
11
11
                         HON. LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN,
12
12
                                             District Judge
13
13
14
                               APPEARANCES
14
15
     PREET BHARARA
15
      United States Attorney for the
16
          Southern District of New York
16
     BY: JULIAN MOORE
17
          LISA A. BARONI
17
          MATTHEW SCHWARTZ
18
          Assistant United States Attorneys
18
19
     JAMES K. FILAN
19
          Attorney for Defendant
20
21
              - also present -
22
     SA Paul Takla, FBI
22
     SA Greg Hagarty, FBI
     Natasha Ramesar, Pretrial Services Officer
23
24
25
                    SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                              (212) 805-0300
```

166dlipp PLEA 1 THE CLERK: I call the case, United States of America 2 versus Eric Lipkin. 3 MR. MOORE: Good morning, your Honor. Julian Moore for the government. With me are my colleagues Lisa Baroni and 4 Matthew Schwartz, of the U.S. Attorney's office, along with 5 Special Agents Greg Hagarty and Paul Takla, of the FBI, and 6 7 Natasha Ramesar of the United States Pretrial Services. 8 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Moore, Ms. Baroni and 9 Mr. Schwartz, Agent Hagarty, Agent Takla, and Officer Ramesar. 10 MR. FILAN: Good morning, your Honor. James K. Filan, counsel on behalf of Mr. Lipkin, who is seated at the table 11 12 next to me. 13 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Filan. Good morning, 14 Mr. Lipkin. 15 And, again, good morning all of you who have attended 16 today. 17 Is this Mr. Lipkin's first appearance? 18 MR. FILAN: Yes, it is, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: All right. Well, then, let's deal with 20 the advice of rights matters first. 21 Mr. Lipkin, would you please stand. 22 Please state your full name. 23 THE DEFENDANT: Eric Lipkin. 24 THE COURT: How old are you, sir? 25 THE DEFENDANT: 37. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

2

166dlipp

2

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## PLEA

THE COURT: I will now explain certain rights that you have under the Constitution of the United States. You have the right to remain silent. You need not make any statement. Even if you have already made statements to the authorities, you need not make any additional statements. Any statements that you do make can be used against you. Do you understand these rights? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. THE COURT: You have the right to be released either conditionally or unconditionally pending trial unless I find that there are no conditions that would reasonably assure your presence at future court hearings and the safety of the community. If the government were to ask me to detain you pending trial, you are entitled to a prompt hearing on whether such conditions exist. Do you understand this right? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. THE COURT: Are you a citizen of the United States? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am. THE COURT: You have the right to be represented by an attorney today and at all future proceedings in this case, and if you are unable to afford an attorney, I will appoint an attorney to represent you. Do you understand these rights?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

3

166dlipp PLEA THE COURT: Do you wish to have and are you able to 2 obtain and afford counsel on your own? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am. 4 THE COURT: Have you retained Mr. Filan to represent 5 you? 6 THE DEFENDANT: I have. 7 THE COURT: And it is your desire to proceed in these 8 matters with Mr. Filan as your retained counsel? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: And I am informed that -- Mr. Filan 10 11 informed that Mr. Lipkin has an application to waive indictment 12 and enter a guilty plea to the six-count Superseding 13 Information that is labeled S3 10 Criminal 228. 14 Is that correct?  $\mbox{MR. FILAN:} \quad \mbox{That is correct, your Honor.} \quad \mbox{THE COURT:} \quad \mbox{And this plea is pursuant to the agreement}$ 15 16 that has been marked as Government Exhibit 1, and is at defense 17 18 table now? 19 MR. FILAN: That is correct, your Honor. THE COURT: And you and Mr. Lipkin have also reviewed 20 21 and signed the advice of rights form that has been marked as 22 Court Exhibit 1. 23 MR. FILAN: We have, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Thank you. I have a question for 25 Mr. Moore at this point. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

166dlipp

## PLEA

Mr. Moore, would you please make a statement for the record as to the government's victim notification activities in connection with this matter. I note that the courtroom is full and that I signed an order requiring certain information be posted on the website, but perhaps you can bring us up to date.

MR. MOORE: Yes, your Honor.

Last week we issued a letter to your attention requesting that we make public that this proceeding will be occurring today, namely, that Mr. Lipkin will be pleading to a superseding S3 Information to the counts, which we will go through today. We also requested that we be able to post this announcement on the U.S. Attorney's Madoff website.

Your Honor kindly ordered -- so ordered that letter on Thursday, and those conditions did happen as of that date.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Now, I had received a call indicating that there was one victim who wished to be heard today. This morning we received a further message that that person is unable to attend court today.

Is the government aware of any victims who are here present today who wish to be heard?

MR. MOORE: We are not, your Honor. However, we are aware that your deputy, Ms. Ng, did make a sign-up sheet available, but we do not believe anyone signed that sheet.

THE COURT: It's my understanding that no one has SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

6 166dlipp PLEA 1 signed on the sheet. 2 Is there anyone here who does wish to be heard? 3 (Pause) 4 All right. We will proceed, then. 5 Mr. Lipkin, before I accept your Waiver of Indictment 6 and your guilty plea, there are a number of questions that I 7 must ask you while you are under oath to assure that it is a valid waiver and plea. At times I may cover a point more than 8 9 once, and I may cover matters that were also addressed in the 10 advice of rights form that you have seen. But if I do so, that 11 is because it is very important that you understand what is 12 happening here today. 13 If you don't understand something that I ask you, 14 please say so and I will reword the question or you may speak 15 with your attorney. Do you understand that? 16 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 17 THE COURT: Ms. Ng, would you please administer the 18 oath. 19 THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand. 20 (The defendant was sworn) 21 THE COURT: Would you please state your full name for 22 the record. 23 THE DEFENDANT: Eric Scott Lipkin. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Lipkin, do you understand that you 25 have solemnly promised to tell the truth, and that if you SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

7 166dlipp PLEA 1 answer any of my questions falsely, your false or untrue 2 answers may later be used against you in another prosecution 3 for perjury or making a false statement? 4 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 5 THE COURT: You can be seated for the next portion of 6 the proceeding. 7 You indicated that your age is 37, is that correct? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 8 9 THE COURT: How far did you go in school? 10 THE DEFENDANT: I graduated from Ramapo College. 11 THE COURT: In what field of study? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Economics. I also have an associates 13 degree in finance. 14 THE COURT: Are you able to speak, read and understand 15 the English language well? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 17 THE COURT: And you are a citizen of the United 18 States? 19 THE DEFENDANT: I am. 20 THE COURT: Are you now or have you recently been 21 under the care of a doctor or a psychiatrist? 22 THE DEFENDANT: No. 23 THE COURT: Have you ever been treated or hospitalized for any mental illness or for any type of addiction, including 24 25 drug or alcohol addiction? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

8 166dlipp PLEA 1 THE DEFENDANT: No. 2 THE COURT: Have you ever been addicted to any drugs 3 or alcohol? 4 THE DEFENDANT: No. 5 THE COURT: In the past 24 hours, have you taken any drugs, medicine, or pills, or have you drunk any alcohol? 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: No. 8 THE COURT: Is your mind clear today? 9 THE DEFENDANT: It is. 10 THE COURT: Are you feeling well physically today? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Are you represented by a lawyer here 13 today? 14 THE DEFENDANT: I am. 15 THE COURT: What is your attorney's name? 16 THE DEFENDANT: James Filan. 17 THE COURT: Mr. Filan, Mr. Moore, does either of you 18 have any doubt as to Mr. Lipkin's competence to waive indictment and enter a plea at this time? 19 20 MR. MOORE: No, your Honor. 21 MR. FILAN: I have no knowledge. He should be able 22 to, yes, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Thank you. You have no knowledge of any 24 reason for me --25 MR. FILAN: I have no reason to believe that he is SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

9 166dlipp PLEA unable to enter a plea. I'm sorry. THE COURT: Thank you.
Mr. Lipkin, your attorney has informed me that you 2 3 4 wish to waive indictment and enter a plea of guilty to an 5 information. Do you wish to waive indictment and enter a 6 guilty plea? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Have you fully discussed your case with 9 your attorney, including the charges to which you intend to 10 plead guilty and any possible defenses you may have to those 11 charges? 12 THE DEFENDANT: I have. 13 THE COURT: Have you and your attorney also discussed 14 the consequences of entering a guilty plea? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, we have. THE COURT: Are you satisfied with your attorney and 16 17 his representation of you? 18 THE DEFENDANT: I am. 19 THE COURT: On the basis of Mr. Lipkin's responses to 20 my questions and my observations of his demeanor, I find that 21 he is fully competent to enter an informed plea at this time 22 and to waive indictment. 23 Before I accept your plea, sir, I am going to ask you 24 many so more questions. These questions are intended to

satisfy the Court that you wish to plead guilty because you are SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

25

10 166dlipp PLEA in fact guilty and that you fully understand your rights and the consequences of your plea. I am now going to describe to 3 you certain rights that you have under the Constitution and laws of the United States. You will be giving up these rights if you enter a guilty plea. Please listen carefully. If you 6 do not understand something that I'm saying or describing, stop 7 me and I or your attorney will explain it more fully. 8 Under the Constitution and laws of the United States, 9 you have the right to a speedy and public trial by a jury on 10 the charges against you that are set out in the Information. 11 Do you understand that. 12 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 13 THE COURT: Do you understand that you have the right to plead not guilty and to continue to plead not guilty? 14 15 THE DEFENDANT: I do. THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would be 16 presumed innocent and the government would be required to prove 17 18 you guilty by competent evidence and beyond a reasonable doubt. 19 You would not have to prove that you were innocent at a trial. 20 Do you understand that? 21 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 22 THE COURT: If there were a trial, a jury composed of 23 12 people selected from this district would have to agree 24 unanimously in order to find you guilty. Do you understand

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

25

that?

\_ 11

166dlipp

3

5

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

PLEA

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, and at all stages leading up to it, you would have the right to be represented by an attorney, and if you could not afford one, an attorney would be provided to you free of cost. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would have the right to see and hear all of the witnesses against you and your attorney could cross-examine them. You would also have the right to have your attorney object to the government's evidence and offer evidence on your behalf, if you so desired. In addition, you would have the right to have witnesses required to come to court to testify in your defense, and you would have the right to testify yourself but you would not be required to testify.

Do you understand all of that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if there were a trial and you decided not to testify, no adverse inference could be drawn against you based on your decision not to testify?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if you were convicted at a trial, you would have the right to appeal that verdict?

12 166dlipp PLEA 1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2 THE COURT: Do you understand each and every one of 3 these rights that I've asked you about? 4 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 5 THE COURT: Do you have any questions about any of 6 these rights? 7 THE DEFENDANT: No, I do not. 8 THE COURT: Do you understand that by entering a 9 guilty plea today you will be giving up each and every one of 10 these rights? 11 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 12 THE COURT: Do you also understand that you will be giving up any possible claim that your constitutional rights 13 14 may have been violated? 15 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 16 THE COURT: And do you understand that you will have 17 no trial if you plead guilty? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Do you understand that by entering a 20 guilty plea, you will also have to give up your right not to 21 incriminate yourself because I will ask you questions about 22 what you did in order to satisfy myself that you are guilty as 23 charged and you will have to admit and acknowledge your guilt? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 25 THE COURT: Do you understand that you can change your SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

```
13
      166dlipp
                              PLEA
      mind right now and refuse to enter a plea of guilty? You do
 1
      not have to enter this plea if you do not want to for any
 2
 3
      reason. Do you understand this fully?
 4
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 5
               THE COURT: Do you still wish to plead guilty?
 6
               THE DEFENDANT: I do.
 7
               THE COURT: The document that contains the charges to
      which you've indicated you wish to plead guilty is called an
 8
 9
      information. It has been issued by the United States Attorney.
      You have a constitutional right to be charged by an indictment
10
      rather than an information. An indictment would be a charge
11
12
      issued from the grand jury. Do you understand that?
13
               THE DEFENDANT: I do.
14
               THE COURT: Mr. Filan, would you please show
15
      Mr. Lipkin the Waiver of Indictment form.
16
               (Pause)
17
               Mr. Lipkin, have you signed this Waiver of Indictment?
18
               THE DEFENDANT: I have.
               THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it?
19
20
               THE DEFENDANT: I did.
21
              THE COURT: You did discuss it with your attorney
22
      before you signed it?
23
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
24
              THE COURT: Did you understand it before you signed
25
      it?
                     SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                               (212) 805-0300
```

166dlipp PLEA 1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did. 2 THE COURT: Do you understand that if you do not waive 3 indictment, if the government wished to prosecute you on these particular charges that are in the Information, the government 4 5 would have to present the case to the grand jury, which might 6 or might not indict you on those charges? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Do you understand that you are under no 9 obligation to waive indictment? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Do you understand that by waiving 12 indictment, you are giving up your right to have the case 13 presented to the grand jury? 14 THE DEFENDANT: 15 THE COURT: Do you understand what a grand jury is? 16 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 17 THE COURT: Did anyone make any threats or promises to get you to waive indictment? 18 19 THE DEFENDANT: No, they did not. 20 THE COURT: Have you seen a copy of the Third 21 Superseding Information that has the word "Information" at the top and the number S3 10 Cr. 228 on it? 22 23 THE DEFENDANT: I did. 24 THE COURT: Have you read it? 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

166dlipp PLEA 1 THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, we have. 3 THE COURT: Do you understand the charges against you 4 that are detailed in the Information? 5 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 6 THE COURT: If you would like, I can read the 7 Information out loud now here in court to you. Do you want me 8 to read it out loud to you? 9 THE DEFENDANT: No. 10 THE COURT: I find that Mr. Lipkin's Waiver of 11 Indictment is knowing and voluntary. I accept it and I so 12 order it. 13 Mr. Lipkin, do you understand that Count One of the 14 Superseding Information charges you with violating Section 371 of Title 18 of the United States Code by participating in a 15 16 conspiracy to, one, violate 15 United States Code, Sections 78q(a) and 78ff and Title 17 of the Code of Federal 17 18 Regulations, Section 240.17a-3 by falsifying books and records 19 of a broker-dealer, as well as conspiring to violate Title 15 of the United States Code, Sections 80b-4 and 80b-17 and 1720 21 C.F.R., Section 275.204-2 by falsifying books and records of an 22 investment advisor, and also conspiring to violate Title 18 of 23 the United States Code, Sections 1027 and 2 by falsifying 24 statements to facilitate the theft concerning ERISA, that being 25 the Employee Retirement Income Security Act? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

166dlipp PLEA 1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2 THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Two of the Superseding Information charges you with violating Title 18 of 3 the United States Code, Section 371 by participating in a 4 conspiracy to violate Title 18, Section 1344, by defrauding a 5 6 financial institution, the deposits of which were then insured 7 by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Three of the Superseding Information charges you with falsifying books and 10 records of a broker-dealer, in violation of 15 United States 11 Code, Sections 78q(a) and 78ff; 17 of the Code of Federal 12 Regulations, Section 240.17a-3; and Title 18 of the United 13 14 States Code, Section 2? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 16 THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Four of the Superseding Information charges you with falsifying books and 17 records of an investment advisor, in violation of Title 15 of 18 the United States Code, Sections 80b-4 and 80b-17; 17 Code of 19 Federal Regulations, Section 275.204-2; and Title 18 of the 20 21 United States Code, Section 2? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Five of the 24 Superseding Information charges you with making false statements to facilitate a theft concerning ERISA, in violation SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

17 166dlipp PLEA 1 of 18 United States Code, Sections 1027 and 2? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 3 THE COURT: And do you understand that Count Six of the Superseding Information charges you with committing bank 4 5 fraud with respect to a federally insured bank, in violation of 6 Title 18 of the United States Code, Sections 1344 and 2? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Do you understand that the government 9 would have to prove each and every part or element of each of 10 these charges beyond a reasonable doubt at trial if you did not 11 plead guilty? 12 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Moore, would you please explain for 14 the record the elements that the government would have to prove 15 if you were to go to trial on these charges? 16 MR. MOORE: Certainly, your Honor. 17 With regard to Counts One and Two, the conspiracy 18 counts, in order to prove the crime of conspiracy, the 19 government must establish each of the following elements beyond 20 a reasonable doubt: 21 First, that the conspiracy charged in the Information 22 existed, in other words, that there was in fact an agreement or 23 understanding to violate the laws of the United States; 24 Second, your Honor, that the defendant knowingly, 25 willingly and voluntarily became a member of the conspiracy SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

166dlipp

PLEA

1 charged;
2

And, third, that any one of the conspirators, not necessarily the defendant, knowingly committed at least one overt act in the Southern District of New York in furtherance of the conspiracy during the life of that conspiracy.

With regard to Count Three, your Honor, falsifying broker-dealer books and records, in order to prove this crime, the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt the following elements:

First, that at the time of the alleged offense, Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities, otherwise known as "BLMIS," was a registered broker;

Second, that BLMIS failed to make and keep certain accurate records, as required under the SEC's rules and regulations;

Third, that the defendant aided and abetted BLMIS' failure to make and keep accurate records; and

Fourth, that the defendant acted knowingly and willfully.

With regard to Count Four, your Honor, falsifying books and records of an investment advisor, the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that at the time of the alleged offense BLMIS was an investment advisor; second, that BLMIS failed to make and keep certain accurate records as required under the SEC's SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

PLEA

rules and regulations; third, that the defendant aided and abetted BLMIS' failure to make and keep accurate records; fourth, that the defendant acted knowingly and willfully; and, fifth, the offense involved the use of the mails and means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce.

With regard to Count Five, falsifying statements to facilitate a theft concerning ERISA, in order to prove this crime the government must demonstrate beyond a reasonable doubt that, first, that at the time of the alleged offense, the defendant made a false statement; second, that the defendant knew the statement to be false; and, third, that the defendant made a false statement in a document required by ERISA.

Finally, your Honor, with regard to Count Six, bank fraud, in order to prove this crime beyond a reasonable doubt, the government must demonstrate, first, that at the time of the alleged offense the defendant executed or attempted execute a scheme or artifice to defraud a bank, or that the defendant execute or attempted to execute a scheme or artifice to obtain money owned by or under the custody or control of that bank by means of false or fraudulent pretense, representations or promises; second, your Honor, the defendant engaged in a scheme or artifice knowingly and willfully and with the specific intent to defraud the bank; and, third, that the bank involved was a federally chartered or insured financial institution.

THE COURT: Thank you.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

## PLEA

Mr. Lipkin, do you understand the matters that the government would have to prove if you did not plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime with which you are charged in

possible penalty for the crime with which you are charged in Count One is five years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$250,000, twice the gain resulting from the offense or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime with which you are charged in Count Two is five years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$250,000, twice the gain resulting from the offense or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime with which you are charged in SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

PLEA

166dlipp

Count Three is 20 years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$5 million, twice the gain resulting from the offense or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime with which you are charged in Count Four is five years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$250,000, twice the gain resulting from the offense or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime with which you are charged in Count Five is five years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$250,000, twice the gain resulting from the offense or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

PLEA

three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime with which you are charged in Count Six is 30 years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$1 million, twice the gain resulting from the offense or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus five years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible combined penalty for the six crimes with which you are charged is 70 years of imprisonment plus a fine of \$7 million or, if greater, the sums of the relevant gains and losses and statutory amounts relating to your offenses, plus full restitution to all persons injured by your criminal conduct, plus a \$600 mandatory special assessment, plus supervised release for five years after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: I will now give you some information to verify your understanding of the supervised release aspect of the potential penalty.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

#### PLEA

"Supervised release" means that you will be subject to monitoring when you are released from prison. Terms and conditions will be imposed. If you violate any of the set terms and conditions, you can be reimprisoned without a jury trial.

If you were on supervised release and do not comply with any of the set terms or conditions, you can be returned to prison for the remainder of the term of supervised release, you will be given no credit for the time that you served in prison as a result of your sentence and no credit for any time spent on post-release supervision. So, for example, if you received a prison term and then a three-year term of supervised release and after you left prison you lived up to the terms of supervised release for two years but then you violated some term of the supervised release, you could be returned to prison for three full years.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that if I accept your guilty plea and adjudge you guilty, that adjudication may deprive you of valuable civil rights, such as the right to vote, the right to hold public office, the right to serve on a jury, and the right to possess any kind of firearm?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that there are SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

166dlipp

PLEA

Sentencing Guidelines that the Court must consider in determining your sentence?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Has your attorney discussed the Sentencing Guidelines with you?

THE DEFENDANT: He has.

THE COURT: Do you understand that in determining your sentence, the Court has an obligation to calculate the applicable Sentencing Guidelines' range and to consider that range, possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines, and other sentencing factors under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 3553(a)?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if your attorney or anyone else has attempted to estimate or predict what your sentence will be, their estimate or prediction could be wrong?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you also fully understand that even if your sentence is different from what your attorney or anyone else told you it might be, or if it is different from what you expect, you will still be bound to your guilty plea and you will not be allowed to withdraw your plea of guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the sentence to be imposed will be determined solely by the Court and that I can SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

inn 25

166dlipp

only determine the sentence after the Probation Department prepares a presentence report?

PLEA

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the Court has discretion, while taking into account the specific provisions and policy statements in the guidelines, to sentence you to any number of years imprisonment between zero and the combined statutory maximums of 70 years?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Are you now serving any state or federal sentence, or are you being prosecuted for any other crime?

THE DEFENDANT: I'm not.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the Superseding Information also includes a forfeiture allegation in which the government asserts that you are required to forfeit to the United States any and all property constituting and derived from any proceeds that you obtained as a result of the crimes charged in Counts One, Two, Three and Six, including up to approximately \$143.2 million as to Counts One and Three and approximately \$700,000, including your interest in certain real property, as to each of Counts Two and Six?

MR. FILAN: Your Honor, Mr. Lipkin understands that he has to admit to the forfeiture allegation regarding the bank fraud crimes in Two and Six, but he is not admitting today to Count One and Three.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

26 166dlipp PLEA 1 THE COURT: Yes. In this section of the allocution, I 2 am confirming his understanding that there is a forfeiture  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) ^{2}$ 3 allegation. We will get to the admission issues later. 4 MR. FILAN: Absolutely, your Honor. I just wanted -he showed some confusion there, and I wanted to make sure he 5 6 understood that. 7 THE COURT: Mr. Filan, would you please show 8 Mr. Lipkin the agreement, Government Exhibit 1. 9 (Pause) 10 MR. FILAN: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Lipkin, have you signed this 12 agreement? 13 THE DEFENDANT: I have, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 16 THE COURT: Did you fully discuss it with your 17 attorney before you signed it? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, we did. 19 THE COURT: Did you fully understand it before you 20 signed it? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Does the agreement accurately reflect your complete and total understanding of the entire agreement 23 24 between the government, your attorney and you? 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

27 166dlipp PLEA THE COURT: Is everything that you understand about 1 2 your plea, cooperation and sentence covered in this agreement? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Has anything been left out? 5 THE DEFENDANT: I don't believe so. 6 THE COURT: Has anyone made any promises to you other 7 than what is set out in that agreement or threatened you or 8 forced you to plead guilty or to enter into this agreement? THE DEFENDANT: No. 9 10 THE COURT: Do you understand that even if the 11 government does not oppose or take a position on what your 12 attorney will ask as a sentence, I am free to impose whatever 13 sentence I believe is appropriate under the circumstances and 14 the applicable law and you will have no right to withdraw your 15 plea? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Do you understand that this agreement 18 provides that prior to the date of sentencing, you must file 19 accurate amended tax returns for the years 2006, 2007, 2008 and 20 2009, and pay or enter into an agreement to pay past taxes due 21 and owing by you to the Internal Revenue Service, including any applicable penalties? 22 23

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement provides that you must cooperate fully with the office of the

24

166dlipp

5

PLEA

United States Attorney, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and any other law enforcement agency designated by the United States Attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement does not bind any federal, state or local prosecuting authority other than the United States Attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement provides that if the United States Attorney determines that you have provided substantial assistance in an investigation or prosecution, and if you have fully complied with the understandings specified in the agreement, that the United States Attorney will file a motion pursuant to Section 5Kl.1 of the Sentencing Guidelines requesting that the Court sentence you in light of the factors set forth in subdivision (a)(1) through (5) of that Section of the quidelines?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the factors that the Court may consider under Section 5Kl.1 include the significance and usefulness of your assistance, taking into account the government's evaluation of your assistance; the truthfulness, completeness and reliability of any information or testimony you provided; the nature and extent of your assistance; any injuries suffered or any danger or risk of SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

29 166dlipp PLEA 1 injury to you on your family as a result of your assistance; 2 and the timeliness of your assistance? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 4 THE COURT: Do you understand that even if the United 5 States Attorney files such a motion, the sentence to be imposed 6 on you remains within the sole discretion of the Court? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Do you understand that you will not be 9 entitled to withdraw your plea if the Court denies the motion? 10 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 11 THE COURT: Do you understand that if United States 12 Attorney determines that you have not provide substantial 13 assistance in an investigation or prosecution or that you have 14 violated any provision of the agreement, the United States 15 Attorney is not obligated to file a motion under Section 5K1.1? 16 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 17 THE COURT: Do you understand that you will not be 18 entitled to withdraw your guilty plea even if the United States 19 Attorney has not filed a motion? 20 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 21 THE COURT: Do you understand that your agreement 22 provides that if you commit any further crimes or if it is 23 determined that you gave false, incomplete or misleading 24 testimony or information, or that you otherwise violated any provision of the agreement, you will be subject to prosecution SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

PLEA

for any federal violations of which the United States Attorney has knowledge, including perjury and obstruction of justice?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that your agreement provides that if you commit any further crimes, or if it is determined that you gave false, incomplete or misleading testimony or information, or that you otherwise violated any provision of this cooperation agreement, all statements made by you to the United States Attorney or other designated law enforcement agents and any testimony given by you before a grand jury or other tribunal may be admissible in evidence in any criminal proceedings against you?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that your agreement also provides that you may not assert a claim that such statements should be suppressed from evidence and that you are waiving your right to claim that such statements should be suppressed?

18 THE DEFENDANT: I do.
19 THE COURT: DO YOU UP

THE COURT: Do you understand that on page 3, this agreement also includes your agreement to forfeit to the United States any and all property constituting and derived from any proceeds that you obtained as a result of the crimes charged in Counts One, Two, Three and Six in an amount to be determined by the Court as to Counts One and Three, and as to Counts Two and Six, that you are agreeing to the entry of a money judgment SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

31 166dlipp PLEA against you in the total amount of \$1.4 million in United States currency, and that you will forfeit all of your interest 3 in certain real property in Ridgewood, New Jersey and in 4 certain accounts held in the names of or for the benefit of 5 you, your wife and minor children at Fidelity Investments? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Mr. Filan, is that a correct statement? 8 MR. FILAN: Absolutely, your Honor. Thank you. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Lipkin, do you still wish to plead 10 guilty pursuant to this agreement? 11 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Filan, do you know of any valid reason 13 why Mr. Lipkin would prevail at trial? MR. FILAN: I do not, your Honor.
THE COURT: Do you know any reason why he should not 14 15 be permitted to plead guilty? 16 17 MR. FILAN: I do not. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Lipkin, would you please stand at this 19 time and tell me what you did that makes you guilty of each of 20 the crimes charged in the third Superseding Information. 21 THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I would like to first apologize to my family, my friends, and all the victims in this 22 23 case. I'm very sorry for my conduct. 24 I now want to address my actions as they relate to the 25 charges against me. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

### PLEA

With regard to the conspiracy charged in Count One and also charged in Counts Three, Four and Five, I worked with Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities' employees to deceive others. I created fake DTC reports in New York City.

I created these documents knowingly and willingly. I knew that these documents were fake because they were created by me and not by the DTC. I created them to match documents given to me by other BLMIS employees. My understanding was that the fake DTC reports that were prepared were being given to the auditors to mislead them.

Also as part of the conspiracy charged in Count One and the charges in Count Three, Four and Five, it was my job to prepare the BLMIS payroll documents and records. As part of my job from at least 1996 in New York City, I created fake, false payroll records and also submitted to the Department of Labor inaccurate form 5500s. These forms falsely showed that a number of people were employees of BLMIS when in fact I knew they were not working for BLMIS.

For instance, sometime in 2008, Daniel Bonventre instructed me to include one of his sons as an employee when I knew he wasn't working there, and I agreed to do it; and I created BLMIS payroll records to reflect that he worked there.

Further, beginning in 2007, in New York City, I knowingly certified on the Form 5500 that there were people who worked at BLMIS when in fact they did not. I also understood SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

1126.0032

PLEA

that the Form 5500 contained a certification that all information on the form was accurate, and I signed it knowing it was not accurate and then I submitted it to the Department of Labor using Federal Express.

Counts Two and Six.

Regarding Count Two, conspiracy to commit bank fraud, and Count Six, bank fraud, I was attempting to get a construction loan. In order to ensure I received the loan, I went to Frank DiPiscali to create a new BLMIS account in my name that falsely said my account value was greater than it was. I knew I could ask Frank DiPiscali to do this for me because I knew it had been done previously for other BLMIS employees.

That account statement was created in New York at BLMIS in November of 2008. Once I got the fake statement, I sent it from New York City to a bank in Florida. I knew that the account did not have the money in it that the statement said it did and that it was wrong to mislead the lender to get the loan.

THE COURT: Would you remain standing. I have a few more questions for you.

You indicated that the fake DTC reports that you were making were going to be given to the auditors in order to deceive auditors. What did you understand the auditors were going to do with that information?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

.

34 166dlipp PLEA THE DEFENDANT: It was to confirm positions at several 1 2 investment advisory accounts that we were reporting at. 3 THE COURT: And to what persons or entities were the 4 reports going to be made? 5 THE DEFENDANT: The SEC. 6 THE COURT: And you use the term "DTC," what does that 7 mean? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Depository Trust Company. 9 THE COURT: You used the term "5500" reports. 10 are those? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Those are reports that certify monies 12 that are in a 401(k) plan. 13 THE COURT: And is the 401(k) plan a type of plan 14 that's governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act? 15 THE DEFENDANT: It is. 16 THE COURT: And to what agency, if any, of the government are the 5500s submitted? 17 18 THE DEFENDANT: The federal government, the IRS -- the Department of Labor. I'm sorry. 19 THE COURT: I notice that you were looking at some 20 21 notes as you were speaking. Do those notes accurately reflect 22 truthful information and actions that you yourself took? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, they do. 24 THE COURT: When you did these things that you have 25 described, did know that what you were doing was wrong and SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

35 166dlipp PLEA 1 illegal? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Moore, does the government wish any 4 further factual matters to be addressed in the plea allocution? MR. MOORE: No. Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Moore, would you please summarize the 5 6 7 government's evidence against Mr. Lipkin. 8 And, Mr. Lipkin, you can be seated, please. 9 MR. MOORE: Certainly, your Honor. 10 Had this case proceeded to trial, the government would 11 have proven, through testimony and evidence, beyond a 12 reasonable doubt the facts set forth in the Superseding 13 Information. Specifically, the government would have proven with respect to Counts One, Three, Four and Five of the 14 15 Information a conspiracy to falsify books and records of a 16 broker-dealer and investment advisor and conspiracy to falsify 17 statements to facilitate a theft concerning ERISA, along with 18 the corresponding substantive charges: That Mr. Lipkin was 19 employed by BLMIS from in or about the mid-1980s through at 20 least on or about December 11, 2008, when BLMIS collapsed. In 21 or about 1996, Mr. Lipkin began working with his 22 co-conspirators in falsifying the books and records at BLMIS. For instance, Mr. Lipkin, working with other 23 co-conspirators, created fraudulent account statements 24 25 detailing the account values of several investment advisory, or SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

PLEA

"IA", accounts at BLMIS. In furtherance of this fraud, your Honor, Mr. Lipkin prepared letters and statements setting out fake holdings purportedly held in multiple BLMIS IA accounts.

Further, in connection with reviews by the SEC and a European accounting firm, Mr. Lipkin and other co-conspirators created false and fraudulent BLMIS books and records as well as false documents purportedly obtained from third parties in the ordinary course of business at BLMIS. For example, Mr. Lipkin and others created fake reports purportedly obtained by the Depository Trust Company, or "DTC." These fake DTC reports purported to show the securities holdings of BLMIS IA clients, which in fact did not exist. Mr. Lipkin knew the purpose of these fake DTC reports was to mislead the auditors.

During his tenure at BLMIS, and at the direction of other co-conspirators, Mr. Lipkin also created false BLMIS books and records reflecting individuals who did not actually work at BLMIS. Mr. Lipkin was responsible for processing the payroll and administering the 401(k) plans at BLMIS, and in this capacity, your Honor, Mr. Lipkin was responsible for preparing and maintaining internal BLMIS payroll records. He was aware that there were individuals on BLMIS's payroll who did not work for the firm but who nevertheless received salaries and benefits. Mr. Lipkin created false internal BLMIS payroll records reflecting that these individuals worked at BLMIS. Furthermore, he included a number of fake employees in SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

PLEA

the total number of employees that he reported to the Department of Labor.

With respect to Counts Two and Six, conspiracy to commit bank fraud and bank fraud, your Honor, the government would prove that in or about 2008, Mr. Lipkin prepared and submitted a loan application to a lending institution insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or "FDIC," which purported to represent accurately his personal and financial information. However, he fraudulently improved his creditworthiness by falsifying his personal and financial information in a manner that was material to the lending institution in making its lending decision. Specifically, Mr. Lipkin, working with others, prepared and submitted false and misleading information concerning his assets.

THE COURT: Mr. Moore, before you sit down, or I will ask you to stand back up, would you just map for me a little more precisely the nature of the violation of the ERISA-specific charge, which we've referred to as facilitating false statements to facilitate a theft concerning ERISA, the elements had been recited, and the allocution here deals with the falsification of plan financial reports by including people who were not employees.

Is there also a specific theft element? Is there a necessity to show intent that plan assets were actually to be given to someone who wasn't entitled to them? I just don't SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

PLEA

understand the nexus between the theft concept and the false statements to my satisfaction.

MR. MOORE: Sure. Your Honor, I don't believe that is an essential element of the crime, but we have alleged that and I believe defendant has admitted to that nevertheless.

It is our understanding -- and we would prove this beyond a reasonable doubt should this case go to trial -- that Mr. Lipkin submitted the Form 5500, which is a reporting form that goes to the Department of Labor, which essentially lists the number of employees at the employer's institution. In this case, Mr. Lipkin inflated that number, therefore misreporting the number of employees who were working at BLMIS, when he in fact knew that there were fewer employees working at that institution. As a result of doing so, he also put them on the internal payroll records at BLMIS, thus giving those employees who in fact never worked at BLMIS 401(k) plans and other salaries and benefits.

Can you hold on one second, your Honor? (Pause)

Right. Entitling them to benefits provided under the Social Security Administration as well as under a 401(k) plan, your Honor. As a result of that, your Honor, the Form 5500 that goes to the Department of Labor does concern ERISA, and, therefore, we believe Mr. Lipkin has met all the three elements for Count Five, falsifying statements to facilitate a theft SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

166dlipp PLEA 1 concerning ERISA. THE COURT: Mr. Lipkin, is Mr. Moore's summary of the 3 nature and significance in terms of entitlement to benefits under an ERISA government plan accurate with respect to your 4 5 own activities in falsifying the 401(k) plan records? 6 THE DEFENDANT: It is, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Mr. Lipkin, would you please stand again. 8 Mr. Lipkin, how do you now plead to the charge against 9 you in Count One of the third Superseding Information, not 10 guilty or guilty? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 12 THE COURT: How do you now plead to the charge against 13 you in Count Two of the third Superseding Information, not 14 quilty or guilty? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 16 THE COURT: How do you now plead to the charge against 17 you in Count Three of the third Superseding Information, not 18 guilty or guilty? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 20 THE COURT: How do you now plead to the charge against you in Count Four of the third Superseding Information, not 21 22 quilty or quilty? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 24 THE COURT: How do you now plead to the charge against 25 you in Count Five of the third Superseding Information, not SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

40 166dlipp PLEA 1 guilty or guilty? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 3 THE COURT: And how do you now plead to the charge 4 against you in Count Six of the third Superseding Information, 5 not guilty or guilty? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 7 THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty to each of these 8 charges because you are in fact guilty of the conduct charged 9 in each count? 10 THE DEFENDANT: I am. 11 THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty voluntarily and of 12 your own free will? 13 THE DEFENDANT: I am. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Filan, would you please show 15 Mr. Lipkin Court Exhibit 1, the advice of rights form. Mr. Lipkin, have you signed this form? 16 17 THE DEFENDANT: I have. 18 THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it? 19 THE DEFENDANT: I did. 20 THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorney  $\cdot$ 21 before you signed it? 22 THE DEFENDANT: We did. 23 THE COURT: Did you understand it before you signed 24 it? 25 THE DEFENDANT: I did. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

41 166dlipp PLEA THE COURT: Mr. Filan, did you also review and sign 1 2 Court Exhibit 1? 3 THE DEFENDANT: I did, your Honor. THE COURT:  $\mbox{Mr. Filan,}$  are there any other questions 4 5 that you believe I should ask Mr. Lipkin in connection with 6 this plea? 7 MR. FILAN: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Moore, are there any other questions 8 9 that you believe I should ask Mr. Lipkin in connection with 10 this plea? MR. MOORE: No. Thank you, your Honor. 11 12 THE COURT: And are there any victims who wish to 13 speak in connection with this matter? 14 (Pause) 15 I note that no one has raised their hand. 16 Mr. Lipkin, you have acknowledged that you are guilty 17 as charged in the Information. I find that you know your 18 rights and that you are waiving them voluntarily. 19 Because your plea is entered knowingly and voluntarily 20 and is supported by an independent basis in fact containing 21 each of the essential elements of each of the offenses, I 22 accept your guilty plea, and I adjudge you guilty of the 23 offenses charged in Counts One, Two, Three, Four, Five and Six 24 of the third Superseding Information in this case, which is 25 numbered 10 Criminal 228. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

42 166dlipp PLEA 1 You can be seated now. Thank you. 2 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Filan, do you wish to be present for 4 any interview of Mr. Lipkin in connection with the preparation 5 of the presentence report? 6 MR. FILAN: I do, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: I will make that direction. 8 MR. FILAN: Thank you. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Moore, what is the parties' request with respect to the setting of a sentencing date or a control 10 11 date? 12 MR. MOORE: Your Honor, at this time, we request that 13 we have six months for a sentencing control date. 14 THE COURT: And that a presentence report not be 15 ordered at this point? MR. MOORE: That is correct, your Honor. 16 17 THE COURT: Ms. Ng, may we have a control date six 18 months out? THE CLERK: Thursday, December 15, 2011, at 11 a.m. 19 20 THE COURT: The sentencing control date is set for 21 December 15, 2011, at 11 in the morning. 22 In advance of that date, Mr. Moore, will the 23 government inform the Court as to whether it is appropriate to 24 commence the preparation of the presentence report or to 25 further extend the control date? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

nn

166dlipp

1 2

PLEA MR. MOORE: We will, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

When it is time for sentencing, counsel, I just wish to ask and to remind you to be prompt in getting any objections or additional information to Probation after the draft report is disclosed, and any 5K1.1 letter or letter indicating an intention to make a motion pursuant to 5K1.1 must be prepared and submitted to the Court and the Probation Department before the probation report is completed so that I can have the benefit of the Probation Department's thinking in that regard as well.

Sentencing submissions are governed by my sentencing submission procedures, which are available on the court's website and also here in hard copy in the courtroom.

Mr. Lipkin, the Probation Office will be preparing a presentence report at some point to assist me in sentencing you. You will be interviewed by the Probation Office. It is important that the information that you give to the probation officer be truthful and accurate. The report is important in my decision as to what your sentence will be. You and your attorney have a right and will have an opportunity to examine the report, to challenge or comment on it, and to speak on your behalf before sentencing.

Failing to be truthful with the Probation Office and the Court may have an adverse effect on your sentence and may SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

44 166dlipp PLEA subject you to prosecution. 2 Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. 5 Now, give me just one moment here. (Pause) 7 Section 3143 of Title 18 provides that the Court is to 8 order a person who has been found guilty of an offense and who 9 is awaiting sentencing as a general matter to be detained unless the judicial officer finds by clear and convincing 10 11 evidence that the person is not likely to flee or pose a danger 12 to the safety of any other person or the community if released. 13 Do the parties have a proposal with respect to 14 detention or release, and would the government set forth its 15 position as to the 3143 factors? 16 MR. MOORE: Yes, your Honor. In light of Mr. Lipkin's 17 cooperation and his full disclosure of his financial assets, 18 his dealings at BLMIS, we are confident that he will be 19 following the rules as set in our proposed bail package that we 20 would like to present to the Court; namely, that his bail be 21 secured by a \$2.5 million personal recognizance bond, secured 22 by \$800,000 in cash or property. 23 THE COURT: And that would be property other than the 24 residential property that has been mentioned in connection with 25 the --SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

166dlipp

1 2

# PLEA

MR. MOORE: Absolutely, your Honor.

We also request that he be subject to strict pretrial supervision; that his travel be restricted to the Southern and Fastern Districts of New York, the District of New Jorgey.

Eastern Districts of New York, the District of New Jersey, where he resides, and the District of Connecticut, where his attorney practice.

Your Honor, we also request that he surrender all of his travel documents and make new no travel applications.

Your Honor, we would request that these conditions be  $\!\!$  met no later than this Friday.

THE COURT: And have you had sufficient dealings up to now with Mr. Lipkin to have a view as to his reliability in keeping appointments, his ability to be trusted at his word in such relevant matters?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$  MOORE: We have, your Honor, as well as the agency, the FBI, has been working with him.

In addition, your Honor, to ensure our confidence in Mr. Lipkin, we have already interviewed a number of cosigners that have volunteered to serve as suriters for his bond. Specifically, we've interviewed seven cosigners that he has proposed, and at this time we are prepared to approve all of them.

THE COURT: And is there anything that you wish to say to me with respect to community or family ties and the significance of those matters in terms of risk of flight?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

166dlipp

### PLEA

MR. MOORE: Yes, your Honor, which was a factor in our determination. Mr. Lipkin is a long-time resident of the New York/New Jersey area. He resides in New Jersey with his wife and children along with several other family members, your Honor. And as a result we are satisfied that he is not a risk of flight, that he does not pose a danger to the community, so long as the conditions that we had proposed are also assigned with his release.

THE COURT: Are you confident of your assessment in terms of the potential availability of assets to facilitate flight?

MR. MOORE: Your Honor, as a part of this process, and one of the reasons why we have expressed some confidence in Mr. Lipkin, is that he has fully disclosed his assets to us. He has filled out financial affidavits with our office fully disclosing the whereabouts of all of his funds. And I believe, as Mr. Filan will attest, he has also agreed to allow us to immediately start forfeiting those proceeds.

THE COURT: And you and your colleagues or investigators have traced monies that to your knowledge went from BLMIS to Mr. Lipkin and have mapped his disclosures against known assets?

MR. MOORE: That's correct, your Honor, which also proves some confidence in Mr. Lipkin, that our own independent evaluation matched up with what proved to be Mr. Lipkin's SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

47 166dlipp PLEA 1 truthful disclosure about his own financial assets and the whereabouts of those assets. 2 3 THE COURT: Given the termination of operations of BLMIS, does the government have a view as to potential danger to the community? Is that an issue here? 5 6 MR. MOORE: We do not believe it is an issue in this 7 case, your Honor. No. 8 THE COURT: And I understand that Mr. Lipkin is recently unemployed. What is the government's position as to 9 10 the significance of that status with respect to risk of flight? 11 MR. MOORE: Your Honor, Mr. Lipkin, from our 12 understanding, will be assisting his wife with the children. His wife is a full-time employee and is fully employed. And we 13 believe, in light of those conditions, your Honor, he is not a 14 risk of flight, particularly if, as we have requested, the 15 16 conditions are assigned for strict pretrial supervision and the 17 security that he is willing to post, including the \$800,000 of 18 cash which is coming from close family members of the 19 defendant. As well, your Honor, a number of the cosigners we 20 do believe have a significant moral suasion over the defendant 21 in light of their close relationship to him. THE COURT: Thank you. 22 23 Officer Ramesar, I've reviewed your report, for which 24 I thank you. 25 MS. RAMESAR: You are welcome. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

48 166dlipp PLEA 1 THE COURT: Is there anything in particular or in addition to or different from what Mr. Moore has argued that 2 3 you wish to bring to my attention at this point? 4 MS. RAMESAR: No, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Thank you. 6 Mr. Filan, did you wish to be heard? 7 MR. FILAN: Just to say, your Honor, that we have 8 Mr. Lipkin's passport, which we will surrender today to 9 Pretrial Services, as the government requests. And we also 10 have two cosigners here with us today, who will execute the 11 appearance bond today before they leave the courthouse to begin that process. 12 13 And we urge the Court to release Mr. Lipkin for the 14 reasons that Mr. Moore covered. I have really nothing further 15 to add to that. THE COURT: Thank you. 16 17 MR. FILAN: Thank you, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Moore, the summary of the proposed 19 conditions that you've provided deals with the surrender of 20 Mr. Lipkin's travel documents. Is there a reason why there is no provision for the surrender of the travel documents of 21 22 immediate family members? 23 MR. MOORE: Your Honor, we have no objection to the 24 wife keeping her passport. In fact, it is our understanding that she will be taking herself and her children to a

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

166dlipp PLEA 1 destination this summer. 2 However, if the Court feels that she should surrender 3 her travel passports, we would have no objection to that 4 condition being added. 5 THE COURT: It seems to me prudent to do that, given 6 the magnitude of the exposure. So I will direct that the travel documents of the wife 8 and children also be surrendered without prejudice to specific 9 application, on notice, for return in connection with specific 10 travel approved by the Pretrial Services Department to which 11 there is no other objection. If there is an objection, I will 12 hear it. 13 MR. MOORE: Thank you, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Filan. 15 MR. FILAN: That is fine, your Honor. Thank you. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 Having carefully considered all that I have heard here 18 today, including the admissions of criminal activity, the 19 result of the investigation of Pretrial Services, the government's extensive account of its activities and evaluation 20

21

22

23

24

25

with respect to risk of flight and potential for danger to the

proposed conditions Mr. Lipkin is not likely to flee or pose a danger to the safety of any other person or the community.

community presented by Mr. Lipkin, I find that there is clear

and convincing evidence that with the imposition of the

49

166dlipp

#### PLEA

Accordingly, I will grant him release on the conditions that have been proposed, with the modification that we just discussed concerning the surrender of family travel documents.

I am now going to prepare a bail disposition sheet enumerating these conditions, and then I will distribute that in draft to the parties so that we can be sure that I've gotten everything correct. So bear with me as I type this up, please.

(Pause)

What I've written is this: "\$2.5 million PRB secured by \$800,000 cash and property, and 7 FRPs. Travel restricted to DNJ, D Conn, E.D.N.Y. and S.D.N.Y. All travel documents of Defendant, wife and children to be surrendered, with no new applications (without prejudice to application for return of documents of wife and children for particular preauthorized travel). Strict pretrial supervision. All conditions must be met by 4:00 p.m. on June 10, 2011."

Does that cover everything accurately?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  MOORE: That is our understanding. Thank you, your Honor.

MR. FILAN: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: I will fix one typo and then I will sign.

How many copies are needed?

THE CLERK: Six.

THE COURT: OK.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

51 166dlipp PLEA 1 (Pause) 2 THE COURT: Now, Mr. Moore and Ms. Baroni, can you 3 shepherd things through the Magistrate Clerk's office here, or whatever section of the Clerk's Office --MR. MOORE: We will, your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: And, also, Mr. Filan and Mr. Lipkin will 7 need to go over to the Probation Department as well to get 8 paper work set up and get any specifics as to what needs to be 9 done there today that will be carried out. 10 MR. FILAN: Thank you, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. Is there anything further that 12 we need to take up together this afternoon? 13 MR. MOORE: Can you give us one moment, your Honor? 14 THE COURT: Yes. 15 MR. MOORE: Nothing further on the government's part. 16 Thank you, your Honor. 17 MR. FILAN: Nothing from the defendant, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. We are adjourned. 19 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, your Honor. 20 THE CLERK: All rise. 21 22 23 24 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

# Exhibit 37

GCr

October 22, 2002

To Our Valued Client:

The USA PATRIOT Act of 2001 requires all United States broker/dealers to obtain and keep current certain client information. In order for us to be certain that the information we have on file is both current and accurate, we are asking our clients to complete the enclosed forms and return them to us.

These new forms will replace your existing account forms in our files.

We thank you in advance for your prompt attention.

Sincerely,

Bernard L. Madoff

Affiliated with:
Madoff Securities International Limited
12 Berkeley Street, Mayfair, London W1X 5AD. Tel 020-7493 6222



Irs./Ms			pital, L.							
NAME	450	Park	Avenue	#32	01					
STREET	NY		MY  ATE  BUSINESS	,	0022					
CITY	212-8	38-7200	TATE 2.12	-838 -9	(4) E0					
TEL. NUME	ER		BUSINESS		RESIDEN					
DEALERS,	AND, THERE	FORE, MUST	OF THE NATION BE ANSWEREI	AL ASSOCI IN FULL.	ATION OF SECURITY					
		NA								
NAME OF I	EMPLOYER (I	F HOUSEWI	FE, NAME THE	HUSBAND'S	S EMPLOYER)					
EMPLOYE	EMPLOYER'S ADDRESS									
OCCUPATI	ON	Un-10	aistered I	nuestme	it Partnership					
	ERENCE AND									
		The state of the s	•	C1-1-	300 76					
OTHER BE	OKERAGE A	ACCOUNTS	1101990	Starley	is co. Ink.					
			PRICE LICE ONL							
		<u>FOR O</u>	FFICE USE ONL	<u>, Y</u>						
ACCOUNT	Γ # ASSIGNE		FFICE USE ONI	<u></u>						
346,616,89	Γ # ASSIGNE IMATE OF CI	D		-						
R R.'S EST		D	WORTH							
R R.'S EST	IMATE OF CI OVER 21 YEA	D_ LIENTS NET ARS OF AGE	WORTHY	-						
R R.'S EST IS CLIENT HOW LON	IMATE OF CI OVER 21 YEA G HAVE YO	D_ LIENTS NET ARS OF AGE	WORTHY							
R R.'S EST IS CLIENT HOW LON CLIENT IS	IMATE OF CI OVER 21 YEA G HAVE YO CITIZEN OF_	LIENTS NET ARS OF AGE U KNOWN	WORTHY							
R R.'S EST IS CLIENT HOW LON CLIENT IS APPROVE	IMATE OF CI OVER 21 YEA G HAVE YO	LIENTS NET ARS OF AGE U KNOWN	WORTHY							

Affiliated with:
Madoff Securities International Limited
12 Berkeley Street, Mayfair, London W1J 8DT. Tel 020-7493 6222

Congress has mandated that all interest and dividend payers including banks, corporations and funds must withhold 10% of all dividends or interest paid UNLESS you complete and return the form at the bottom of this page.

# Important New Tax Information

"Under the Federal income tax law, you are subject to certain penalties as well as with-holding of tax at a 20% rate if you have not provided us with your correct social security number or other taxpayer identification number. Please read this notice carefully.

You (as a payee) are required by law to provide us (as payer) with your correct taxpayer identification number. If you are an individual, your taxpayer identification is your social security number. If you have not provided us with your correct taxpayer identification number, you may be subject to a \$50 penalty imposed by the Internal Revenue Service. In addition, divided payments that we make to you may be subject to backup withholding starting on January 1, 1984.

Backup withholding is different from the 10% withholding on interest and dividends that was repealed in 1983. If backup withholding applies, payer is required to withhold 20% of dividend payments made to you. Backup withholding is not an additional tax. Rather, the tax liability of persons subject to backup withholding will be reduced by the amount of tax withheld. If withholding results in an overpayment of taxes, a refund may be obtained".

Even if you have already provided this information it is required by the IRS that all information

Please sign the form and return it to us.

	Thank you for your cooperation	l.
	SUBSTITUTE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVI	CE FORM W-9
Account Nur	mber(s):	Taxpayer Identification Number:
		13-3621277
Name:	Gabriel Capital, L.P.	
Address:	450 Park Avenue, #3201, NV	NY 10022
	(Signature)	Merkin

Please fill in your name, address, taxpayer identification number, and sign above.

"Under/penalities of perjury, I certify that the number shown On this form is my correct Taxpayer Identification Number".

Affiliated with:

Madoff Securities International Limited

12 Berkeley Street, Mayfair, London W1X 5AD. Tel 020-7493 6222

# **OPTION AGREEMENT**

In order to induce you to carry accounts ("Option Accounts") for me (however designated) for transactions in option contracts (including, without limitations, purchase, sale, transfer, exercise and endorsement) ("Option Transaction"), I hereby warrant, represent and agree with you as set forth below on this Option Agreement.

- I understand, and am well aware, that option trading may be highly speculative in nature. I am
  also aware that on certain days, option trading may cease and this could result in a financial loss
  to me. I agree to hold the company, its other divisions, and its officers, directors and agents
  harmless for such loss.
- I understand that any option transaction made for any account of mine is subject to the rules, regulations, customs and usages of The Options Clearing Corporation and of the registered national securities exchange, national securities association, clearing organization or market where such transaction was executed. I agree to abide by such rules, regulations, custom and usages and I agree that, acting individually or in concert with others, I will not exceed any applicable position or exercise limits imposed by such exchange, association, clearing organization or other market with respect to option trading.
- 3. If I do not satisfy, on a timely basis, your money or security calls, you are authorized in your sole discretion and without notification, to take any and all steps you deem necessary to protect yourself (for any reason) in connection with option transactions for my account including the right to buy and/or sell (including short or short exempt) for my account and risk any part or all of the shares represented by options handled, purchased, sold and/or endorsed by you for my account or to buy for my account and risk any option as you may deem necessary or appropriate. Any and all expenses or losses incurred in this connection will be reimbursed by me.
- 4. In addition to the terms and conditions hereof, my option account will be subject to all of the terms and conditions of all other agreements heretofore or hereafter at any time entered into with you relating to the purchase and sale of securities and commodities except to the extent that such other agreements are contrary to or inconsistent herewith.

Affiliated with:

Madoff Securities International Limited

12 Berkeley Street, Mayfair, London WIX 5AD. Tel 020-7493 6222

CONFIDENTIAL GCC-P 0366173

- 5. This agreement shall apply to all puts or call which you may have executed, purchased, sold or handled for any account of mine and also shall apply to all puts, or calls which you may hereafter purchase, sell, handle or execute for any account of mine.
- 6. I have received from the company the most recent risk disclosure documents entitled "Understanding the Risks and Uses of Listed Options", "Listed Options on Stock Indices", "Listed Options on Foreign Currencies", and "Listed Options in Debt Instruments". I have read and understand the information contained in these documents.
- 7. I understand that you assign exercise notices on a random basis except that with respect to options on the following debt instruments: Treasury Bonds, Treasury Notes, Treasury Bills and GNMAS, you may preferentially assign exercises of block-size (i.e. covering \$1,000,000 or more of underlying securities) to block-size writing positions and you may preferentially assign smaller exercises to smaller writing positions. I understand that upon my request you will provide me with further information regarding the procedure used to assign exercise notices.

DATED _	11/4/02	ACCOUNT NO
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	and the second s
- <del>t</del>		SIGNATURES
	(If a Corporation)	(If Individuals)
(Na	me of Corporation)	
Ву		(Second Party if Joint Account)
Title	·	(If a Partnership)
	SEAL	Galeriel Capital, L.P. (Name of Partnership)
	· ·	By & Muking (A) Partner)

CONFIDENTIAL

# TRADING AUTHORIZATION LIMITED TO PURCHASES AND SALES OF SECURITIES AND OPTIONS

To Whom It May Concern:

The undersigned hereby authorizes Bernard L. Madoff (whose signature appears below) as his agent and attorney in fact to buy, sell and trade in stocks, bonds, options and any other securities in accordance with your terms and conditions for the undersigned's account and risk and in the undersigned's name, or number on your books. The undersigned hereby agrees to indemnify and hold you harmless from, and to pay you promptly on demand any and all losses arising therefrom or debit balance due thereon. However, in no event will the losses exceed my investment.

In all such purchases, sales or trades you are authorized to follow the instructions of Bernard L. Madoff in every respect concerning the undersigned's account with you; and he is authorized to act for the undersigned and in the undersigned's behalf in the same manner and with the same force and effect as the undersigned might or could do with respect to such purchases, sales or trades as well as with respect to all other things necessary or incidental to the furtherance or conduct of such purchases, sales or trades. All purchases, sales or trades shall be executed strictly in accordance with the established trading authorization directive.

The undersigned hereby ratifies and confirms any and all transactions with you heretofore or hereafter made by the aforesaid agent or for the undersigned's account.

This authorization and indemnity is in addition to (and in no way limits or restricts) any rights which you may have under any other agreement or agreements between the undersigned and your firm.

This authorization and indemnity is also a continuing one and shall remain in full force and effect until revoked by the undersigned by a written notice addressed to you and delivered to your office at 885 Third Avenue but such revocation shall not affect any liability in any way resulting from transaction initiated prior to such revocation. This authorization and indemnity shall enure to the benefit of your present firm and any successor firm or firms irrespective of any change or changes at any time in the personnel thereof for any cause whatsoever, and of the assigns of your present firm or any successor firm.

Dated, IIIsloz			
	NY	NY	
	(City)	(State)	-
Very truly yours,	Gabriel Capital, L.P (Client Signature)	. ×	
Signature of Authorize		In/kan/	
orginator of matricines	Accident during	VI V 100-Q	

Affiliated with:

Madoff Securities International Limited

12 Berkeley Street, Mayfair, London W1J 8DT. Tel 020-7493 6222

MADOFF
INVESTMENT SECURITIES LLC
885 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022

212 230-2424 800 334-1343 Fax 212 486-8178

### **CUSTOMER AGREEMENT**

In consideration for you (the "Broker") opening or maintaining one or more accounts (the "Customer"), the Customer agrees to the terms and conditions contained in this Agreement. The heading of each provision of the Agreement is for descriptive purposes only and shall not be deemed to modify or qualify any of the rights or obligations set forth in each such provision. For purposes of this Agreement, "securities and other property" means, but is not limited to money, securities, financial instruments and commodities of every kind and nature and related contracts and options, except that the provisions of paragraph 13 herein (the arbitration clause) shall not apply to commodities accounts. This definition includes securities or other property currently or hereafter held, carried or maintained by you or by any of your affiliates, in your possession or control, or in the possession or control of any such affiliate, for any purpose, in and for any of my accounts now or hereafter opened, including any account in which I may have an interest.

## 1. APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS

All transactions in the Customer's Account shall be subject to the constitution, rules, regulations, customs and usages of the exchange or market, and its clearing house, if any, where the transactions are executed by the Broker or its agents, including its subsidiaries and affiliates. Also, where applicable, the transactions shall be subject (a) to the provisions of (1) the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and (2) the Commodities Exchange Act, as amended; and (b) to the rules and regulations of (1) the Securities and Exchange Commission, (2) the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and (3) the Commodities Futures Trading Commission.

## 2. AGREEMENT CONTAINS ENTIRE UNDERSTANDING/ASSIGNMENT

This Agreement contains the entire understanding between the Customer and the Broker concerning the subject matter of this Agreement. Customer may not assign The rights and obligations hereunder without first obtaining the prior written consent of the Broker.

#### 3. SEVERABILITY

If any provision of this Agreement is held to be invalid, void or unenforceable by reason of any law, rule, administrative order or judicial decision, that determination shall not effect the validity of the remaining provisions of this Agreement.

# 4. WAIVER

Except as specifically permitted in this Agreement, no provision of this Agreement can be, nor be deemed to be, waived, altered, modified or amended unless such is agreed to in a writing signed by the broker.

### 5. DELIVERY OF SECURITIES

Without abrogating any of the Broker's rights under any other portion of this Agreement and subject to any indebtedness of the Customer to the Broker, the Customer is entitled, upon appropriate demand, to receive physical delivery of fully paid securities in the Customer's Account.

#### 6. SALES BY CUSTOMER

The Customer understands and agrees any order to sell "short" will be designated as such by the Customer, and that the Broker will mark the order as "short".

All other sell orders will be for securities owned ("long"), at that time, by the Customer by placing the order the Customer affirms that he will deliver the securities on or before the settlement date.

Affiliated with:

Madoff Securities International Limited

12 Berkeley Street, Mayfair, London W1X 5AD. Tel 020-7493 6222

### 7. BROKER AS AGENT

The customer understands that the Broker is acting as the Customer's agent, unless the Broker notifies the Customer, in writing before the settlement date for the transaction, that the Broker is acting as dealer for its own account or as agent for some other person.

## 8. CONFIRMATIONS AND STATEMENTS

Confirmations of transactions and statements for the Customer's Account(s) shall be binding upon the Customer if the Customer does not object, in writing, within ten days after receipt by the Customer.

## 9. SUCCESSORS

Customer hereby agrees that this Agreement and all the terms thereof shall be binding upon Customer's heirs, executors, administrators, personal representatives and assigns. This Agreement shall ensure to the benefit of the Broker's present organization, and any successor organization, irrespective of any change or changes at any time in the personnel thereof, for any cause whatsoever.

10.	CHO	CE OF	LAWS															
	1	THIS	AGREEM	ENT SH	ALL BE DEEM	ED T	O HAV	E BEEN MA	DE IN THE STAT	E O		y	A	ND SHAI	LL BE	CONS	STRUED,	AND
		THE	RIGHTS	AND	LIABILITIES	OF	THE	PARTIES	DETERMINED,	IN	ACCORDANCE	WITH	THE	LAWS	OF	THE	STATE	OF

# 11. CAPACITY TO CONTRACT, CUSTOMER AFFILIATION

By signing below, the Customer, represents that he/she is of legal age, and that he/she is not an employee of any exchange, or of any corporation of which any exchange owns a majority of the capital stock, or of a member of any exchange, or of a member firm or member corporation registered on any exchange, or of a bank, trust company, insurance company or of any corporation, firm or individual engaged in the business of dealing, either as broker or as principal, in securities, bills of exchange, acceptances or other forms of commercial paper, and that the Customer will promptly notify the Broker in writing if the Customer is now or becomes so employed. The Customer also represents that no one except the Customer has an interest in the account or accounts of the Customer with you.

## 12. ARBITRATION DISCLOSURES

- \* ARBITRATION IS FINAL AND BINDING ON THE PARTIES.
- \* THE PARTIES ARE WAIVING THEIR RIGHT TO SEEK REMEDIES IN COURT, INCLUDING THE RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL.
- \* PRE-ARBITRATION DISCOVERY IS GENERALLY MORE LIMITED THAN AND DIFFERENT FROM COURT PROCEEDINGS.
- \* THE ARBITRATORS AWARD IS NOT REQUIRED TO INCLUDE FACTUAL FINDINGS OR LEGAL REASONING AND ANY PARTY'S RIGHT TO APPEAL OR TO SEEK MODIFICATION OF RULINGS BY THE ARBITRATORS IS STRUCTLY LIMITED.
- \* THE PANEL OF ARBITRATORS WILL TYPICALLY INCLUDE A MINORITY OF ARBITRATORS WHO WERE OR ARE AFFILIATED WITH THE SECURITIES INDUSTRY.



### 13. ARBITRATION

THE CUSTOMER AGREES, AND BY CARRYING AN ACCOUNT FOR THE CUSTOMER THE BROKER AGREES THAT ALL CONTROVERSIES WHICH MAY ARISE BETWEEN US CONCERNING ANY TRANSACTION OR THE CONSTRUCTION, PERFORMANCE, OR BREACH OF THIS OR ANY OTHER AGREEMENT BETWEEN US PERTAINING TO SECURITIES AND OTHER PROPERTY, WHETHER ENTERED INTO PRIOR, ON OR SUBSEQUENT TO THE DATE HEREOF, SHALL BE DETERMINED BY ARBITRATION UNDER THIS AGREEMENT SHALL BE CONDUCTED PURSUANT TO THE FEDERAL ARBITRATION ACT AND THE LAWS OF THE STATE DESIGNATED IN PARAGRAPH 10, BEFORE THE AMERICAN ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION, OR BEFORE THE NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE, INC. OR AN ARBITRATION FACILITY PROVIDED BY ANY OTHER EXCHANGE OF WHICH THE BROKER IS A MEMBER, OR THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF SECURITIES DEALERS, INC. OR THE MUNICIPAL SECURITIES RULE MAKING BOARD AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RULES OBTAINING OF THE SELECTED ORGANIZATION. THE CUSTOMER MAY ELECT IN THE FIRST INSTANCE WHETHER ARBITRATION SHALL BE BY THE AMERICAN ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION, OR BY AN EXCHANGE OR SELF-REGULATORY ORGANIZATION OF WHICH THE BROKER IS A MEMBER, BUT IF THE CUSTOMER FAILS TO MAKE SUCH ELECTION, BY REGISTERED LETTER OR TELEGRAM ADDRESSED TO THE BROKER AT THE BROKER'S MAIN OFFICE, BEFORE THE EXPIRATION OF TEN DAYS AFTER RECEIPT OF A WRITTEN REQUEST FROM THE BROKER TO MAKE SUCH ELECTION, THEN THE BROKER MAY MAKE SUCH ELECTION, THE AWARD OF THE ARBITRATORS, OR OF THE MAJORITY OF THEM SHALL BE FINAL, AND JUDGMENT UPON THE AWARD RENDERED MAY BE ENTERED IN ANY COURT. STATE OR FEDERAL, HAVING JURISDICTION.

# 14. DISCLOSURES TO ISSUERS

Under rule 14b-1(c) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, we are required to disclose to an issuer the name, address, and securities position of our customers who are beneficial owners of that issuer's securities unless the customer objects. Therefore, please check one of the boxes below:

Yes, I do object to the disclosure of information.

No, I do not object to the disclosure of such information.

THIS AGREEMENT CONTAINS A PRE-DISPUTE ARBITRATION CLAUSE AT PARAGRAPH 13.

Customer Signature/date)	(Customer Signature/date)
I has Mark	An .
ostomer Address)	(Account Number)

# Exhibit 38

885 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022

Egra, our copies of signed account papers from our Siler report.

CONFIDENTIAL GCC-P 0366157



October 22, 2002

To Our Valued Client:

The USA PATRIOT Act of 2001 requires all United States broker/dealers to obtain and keep current certain client information. In order for us to be certain that the information we have on file is both current and accurate, we are asking our clients to complete the enclosed forms and return them to us.

These new forms will replace your existing account forms in our files.

We thank you in advance for your prompt attention.

Sincerely,

Bernard L. Madoff

Affiliated with:

Madoff Securities International Limited

12 Berkeley Street, Mayfair, London W1X 5AD. Tel 020-7493 6222

1A0058

212 230-2424 800 334-1343 Fax 212 486-8178

TAX ID	# or SOCIAL	SECURITY #										
	13-	3693341										
Mr./Mr	s./Ms.	Ascot	Partnes	S.L.P.								
araa naraa	NAME	450 A	ark Ave	enue :	#3201							
	STREET	NY	N	/	10022							
	CITY	212-838	-7200	212-9	338-9603 (F	)						
	TEL. NUMB			JSINESS		RESIDENCE						
	"KNOW YO	THE QUESTIONS O DUR CUSTOMER" AND, THEREFORE	RULE OF THE C, MUST BE ANS	NATIONAL AS	SOCIATION OF S							
	RESIDENCE NAME NO.											
	NA											
	NAME OF EMPLOYER (IF HOUSEWIFE, NAME THE HUSBAND'S EMPLOYER)											
	EMPLOYER'S ADDRESS											
	OCCUPATION	ON	<u>In-registe</u>	red Inve	estment Port	neship						
	BANK REFI	ERENCE AND ADD	RESS									
	OTHER BR	OKERAGE ACCO	UNTS	lorgon st	onley is la.							
	CLIENT IN	TRODUCED BY_										
			FOR OFFICE U	ISE ONLY								
	ACCOUNT	# ASSIGNED										
	R R.'S EST	MATE OF CLIENT	IS NET WORTH	ı								
	IS CLIENT	OVER 21 YEARS O	F AGE	YES_	NO							
	HOW LON	G HAVE YOU KN	OWN CLIENT		*							
	CLIENT IS	CITIZEN OF				- 4.5						
	APPROVED	BY										
	DATE SENT	TO CLIENT		DATE S	ENT TO CLIENT							
		GREEMENT			VAIVER FORM							
		EEMENT TE ACCOUNT FOR FDSHID FORM	М		PLE A/C FORM RATE RESOLUTIO	DN						

Affiliated with:
Madoff Securities International Limited
12 Berkeley Street, Mayfair, London W1J 8DT. Tel 020-7493 6222

212 230-2424 800 334-1343

Fax 212 486-8178

Congress has mandated that all interest and dividend payers including banks, corporations and funds must withhold 10% of all dividends or interest paid UNLESS you complete and return the form at the bottom of this page.

# Important New Tax Information

"Under the Federal income tax law, you are subject to certain penalties as well as with-holding of tax at a 20% rate if you have not provided us with your correct social security number or other taxpayer identification number. Please read this notice carefully.

You (as a payee) are required by law to provide us (as payer) with your correct taxpayer identification number. If you are an individual, your taxpayer identification is your social security number. If you have not provided us with your correct taxpayer identification number, you may be subject to a \$50 penalty imposed by the Internal Revenue Service. In addition, divided payments that we make to you may be subject to backup withholding starting on January 1, 1984.

Backup withholding is different from the 10% withholding on interest and dividends that was repealed in 1983. If backup withholding applies, payer is required to withhold 20% of dividend payments made to you. Backup withholding is not an additional tax. Rather, the tax liability of persons subject to backup withholding will be reduced by the amount of tax withheld. If withholding results in an overpayment of taxes, a refund may be obtained".

Even if you have already provided this information it is required by the IRS that all information

Please sign the form and return it to us.

requ	ested below be provided again.	
	Thank you for your cooperat	tion.
	SUBSTITUTE INTERNAL REVENUE SER	RVICE FORM W-9
Account Nu	mber(s):	Taxpayer Identification Number:
	The state of the s	13-3693341
Name:	Ascot Partners, L.P.	
Address:	450 Park Avenue, #3201, 1	by My 10022
	(Signature)	s of perjury, I certify that the number shown my correct Taxpayer Identification Number".

Affiliated with: **Madoff Securities International Limited** 12 Berkeley Street, Mayfair, London W1X 5AD. Tel 020-7493 6222

Please fill in your name, address, taxpayer identification number, and sign above.

#### **CUSTOMER AGREEMENT**

In consideration for you (the "Broker") opening or maintaining one or more accounts (the "Customer"), the Customer agrees to the terms and conditions contained in this Agreement. The heading of each provision of the Agreement is for descriptive purposes only and shall not be deemed to modify or qualify any of the rights or obligations set forth in each such provision. For purposes of this Agreement, "securities and other property" means, but is not limited to money, securities, financial instruments and commodities of every kind and nature and related contracts and options, except that the provisions of paragraph 13 herein (the arbitration clause) shall not apply to commodities accounts. This definition includes securities or other property currently or hereafter held, carried or maintained by you or by any of your affiliates, in your possession or control, or in the possession or control of any such affiliate, for any purpose, in and for any of my accounts now or hereafter opened, including any account in which I may have an interest.

#### 1. APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS

All transactions in the Customer's Account shall be subject to the constitution, rules, regulations, customs and usages of the exchange or market, and its clearing house, if any, where the transactions are executed by the Broker or its agents, including its subsidiaries and affiliates. Also, where applicable, the transactions shall be subject (a) to the provisions of (1) the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and (2) the Commodities Exchange Act, as amended; and (b) to the rules and regulations of (1) the Securities and Exchange Commission, (2) the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and (3) the Commodities Futures Trading Commission.

# 2. AGREEMENT CONTAINS ENTIRE UNDERSTANDING/ASSIGNMENT

This Agreement contains the entire understanding between the Customer and the Broker concerning the subject matter of this Agreement. Customer may not assign The rights and obligations hereunder without first obtaining the prior written consent of the Broker.

#### 3. SEVERABILITY

If any provision of this Agreement is held to be invalid, void or unenforceable by reason of any law, rule, administrative order or judicial decision, that determination shall not effect the validity of the remaining provisions of this Agreement.

# 4. WAIVER

Except as specifically permitted in this Agreement, no provision of this Agreement can be, nor be deemed to be, waived, altered, modified or amended unless such is agreed to in a writing signed by the broker.

#### 5. DELIVERY OF SECURITIES

Without abrogating any of the Broker's rights under any other portion of this Agreement and subject to any indebtedness of the Customer to the Broker, the Customer is entitled, upon appropriate demand, to receive physical delivery of fully paid securities in the Customer's Account.

## 6. SALES BY CUSTOMER

The Customer understands and agrees any order to sell "short" will be designated as such by the Customer, and that the Broker will mark the order as "short".

All other sell orders will be for securities owned ("long"), at that time, by the Customer by placing the order the Customer affirms that he will deliver the securities on or before the settlement date.

Affiliated with:
Madoff Securities International Limited
12 Berkeley Street, Mayfair, London W1J 8DT. Tel 020-7493 6222

# 7. BROKER AS AGENT

The customer understands that the Broker is acting as the Customer's agent, unless the Broker notifies the Customer, in writing before the settlement date for the transaction, that the Broker is acting as dealer for its own account or as agent for some other person.

# 8. CONFIRMATIONS AND STATEMENTS

Confirmations of transactions and statements for the Customer's Account(s) shall be binding upon the Customer if the Customer does not object, in writing, within ten days after receipt by the Customer.

#### 9. SUCCESSORS

Customer hereby agrees that this Agreement and all the terms thereof shall be binding upon Customer's heirs, executors, administrators, personal representatives and assigns. This Agreement shall ensure to the benefit of the Broker's present organization, and any successor organization, irrespective of any change or changes at any time in the personnel thereof, for any cause whatsoever.

40	CIL	OKE	25	1 1	11410

THIS	AGREEME	ENT SH	ALL BE DEEM	IED T	O HAV	E BEEN MA	DE IN THE STAT	E OF	NA		A	ND SHA	LL BE	CONS	TRUED,	AND
THE	RIGHTS	AND	LIABILITIES	OF	THE	PARTIES	DETERMINED,	IN	ACCORDANCE	WITH	THE	LAWS	OF	THE	STATE	OF

### 11. CAPACITY TO CONTRACT, CUSTOMER AFFILIATION

By signing below, the Customer, represents that he/she is of legal age, and that he/she is not an employee of any exchange, or of any corporation of which any exchange owns a majority of the capital stock, or of a member of any exchange, or of a member firm or member corporation registered on any exchange, or of a bank, trust company, insurance company or of any corporation, firm or individual engaged in the business of dealing, either as broker or as principal, in securities, bills of exchange, acceptances or other forms of commercial paper, and that the Customer will promptly notify the Broker in writing if the Customer is now or becomes so employed. The Customer also represents that no one except the Customer has an interest in the account or accounts of the Customer with you.

### 12. ARBITRATION DISCLOSURES

- \* ARBITRATION IS FINAL AND BINDING ON THE PARTIES.
- \* THE PARTIES ARE WAIVING THEIR RIGHT TO SEEK REMEDIES IN COURT, INCLUDING THE RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL.
- \* PRE-ARBITRATION DISCOVERY IS GENERALLY MORE LIMITED THAN AND DIFFERENT FROM COURT PROCEEDINGS.
- \* THE ARBITRATORS AWARD IS NOT REQUIRED TO INCLUDE FACTUAL FINDINGS OR LEGAL REASONING AND ANY PARTY'S RIGHT TO APPEAL OR TO SEEK MODIFICATION OF RULINGS BY THE ARBITRATORS IS STRICTLY LIMITED.
- \* THE PANEL OF ARBITRATORS WILL TYPICALLY INCLUDE A MINORITY OF ARBITRATORS WHO WERE OR ARE AFFILIATED WITH THE SECURITIES INDUSTRY.



#### 13. ARBITRATION

THE CUSTOMER AGREES, AND BY CARRYING AN ACCOUNT FOR THE CUSTOMER THE BROKER AGREES THAT ALL CONTROVERSIES WHICH MAY ARISE BETWEEN US CONCERNING ANY TRANSACTION OR THE CONSTRUCTION, PERFORMANCE, OR BREACH OF THIS OR ANY OTHER AGREEMENT BETWEEN US PERTAINING TO SECURITIES AND OTHER PROPERTY, WHETHER ENTERED INTO PRIOR, ON OR SUBSEQUENT TO THE DATE HEREOF, SHALL BE DETERMINED BY ARBITRATION UNDER THIS AGREEMENT SHALL BE CONDUCTED PURSUANT TO THE FEDERAL ARBITRATION ACT AND THE LAWS OF THE STATE DESIGNATED IN PARAGRAPH 10, BEFORE THE AMERICAN ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION, OR BEFORE THE NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE, INC. OR AN ARBITRATION FACILITY PROVIDED BY ANY OTHER EXCHANGE OF WHICH THE BROKER IS A MEMBER, OR THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF SECURITIES DEALERS, INC. OR THE MUNICIPAL SECURITIES RULE MAKING BOARD AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RULES OBTAINING OF THE SELECTED ORGANIZATION. THE CUSTOMER MAY ELECT IN THE FIRST INSTANCE WHETHER ARBITRATION SHALL BE BY THE AMERICAN ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION, OR BY AN EXCHANGE OR SELF-REGULATORY ORGANIZATION OF WHICH THE BROKER IS A MEMBER, BUT IF THE CUSTOMER FAILS TO MAKE SUCH ELECTION, BY REGISTERED LETTER OR TELEGRAM ADDRESSED TO THE BROKER AT THE BROKER'S MAIN OFFICE, BEFORE THE EXPIRATION OF TEN DAYS AFTER RECEIPT OF A WRITTEN REQUEST FROM THE BROKER AT THE BROKER'S MAIN OFFICE, BEFORE THE EXPIRATION OF TEN DAYS AFTER RECEIPT OF A RABITRATORS, OR OF THE MAJORITY OF THEM SHALL BE FINAL, AND JUDGMENT UPON THE AWARD RENDERED MAY BE ENTERED IN ANY COURT, STATE OR FEDERAL, HAVING JURISDICTION.

## 14. DISCLOSURES TO ISSUERS

Under rule 14b-1(c) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, we are required to disclose to an issuer the name, address, and securities position of our customers who are beneficial owners of that issuer's securities unless the customer objects. Therefore, please check one of the boxes below:

Yes, I do object to the disclosure of information.

\_\_\_\_ No, I do not object to the disclosure of such information.

THIS AGREEMENT CONTAINS A PRE-DISPUTE ARBITRATION CLAUSE AT PARAGRAPH 13.

(Customer Signature/date)
h co
(Account Number)

# **OPTION AGREEMENT**

In order to induce you to carry accounts ("Option Accounts") for me (however designated) for transactions in option contracts (including, without limitations, purchase, sale, transfer, exercise and endorsement) ("Option Transaction"), I hereby warrant, represent and agree with you as set forth below on this Option Agreement.

- I understand, and am well aware, that option trading may be highly speculative in nature. I am
  also aware that on certain days, option trading may cease and this could result in a financial loss
  to me. I agree to hold the company, its other divisions, and its officers, directors and agents
  harmless for such loss.
- 2. I understand that any option transaction made for any account of mine is subject to the rules, regulations, customs and usages of The Options Clearing Corporation and of the registered national securities exchange, national securities association, clearing organization or market where such transaction was executed. I agree to abide by such rules, regulations, custom and usages and I agree that, acting individually or in concert with others, I will not exceed any applicable position or exercise limits imposed by such exchange, association, clearing organization or other market with respect to option trading.
- 3. If I do not satisfy, on a timely basis, your money or security calls, you are authorized in your sole discretion and without notification, to take any and all steps you deem necessary to protect yourself (for any reason) in connection with option transactions for my account including the right to buy and/or sell (including short or short exempt) for my account and risk any part or all of the shares represented by options handled, purchased, sold and/or endorsed by you for my account or to buy for my account and risk any option as you may deem necessary or appropriate. Any and all expenses or losses incurred in this connection will be reimbursed by me.
- 4. In addition to the terms and conditions hereof, my option account will be subject to all-of the terms and conditions of all other agreements heretofore or hereafter at any time entered into with you relating to the purchase and sale of securities and commodities except to the extent that such other agreements are contrary to or inconsistent herewith.

Affiliated with:

Madoff Securities International Limited
12 Berkeley Street, Mayfair, London W1J 8DT. Tel 020-7493 6222

- 5. This agreement shall apply to all puts or call which you may have executed, purchased, sold or handled for any account of mine and also shall apply to all puts, or calls which you may hereafter purchase, sell, handle or execute for any account of mine.
- 6. I have received from the company the most recent risk disclosure documents entitled "Understanding the Risks and Uses of Listed Options", "Listed Options on Stock Indices", "Listed Options on Foreign Currencies", and "Listed Options in Debt Instruments". I have read and understand the information contained in these documents.
- 7. I understand that you assign exercise notices on a random basis except that with respect to options on the following debt instruments: Treasury Bonds, Treasury Notes, Treasury Bills and GNMAS, you may preferentially assign exercises of block-size (i.e. covering \$1,000,000 or more of underlying securities) to block-size writing positions and you may preferentially assign smaller exercises to smaller writing positions. I understand that upon my request you will provide me with further information regarding the procedure used to assign exercise notices.

DATED 11/5/02	ACCOUNT NO
	SIGNATURES
(If a Corporation)	(If Individuals)
(Name of Corporation)	
Ву	(Second Party if Joint Account)
Fitle	(If a Partnership)
	Ascot Partners, L.P.
SEAL	(Name of Partnership)
	By & lear Marking
	(A) Partner)



# TRADING AUTHORIZATION LIMITED TO PURCHASES AND SALES OF SECURITIES AND OPTIONS

To Whom It May Concern:

The undersigned hereby authorizes Bernard L. Madoff (whose signature appears below) as his agent and attorney in fact to buy, sell and trade in stocks, bonds, options and any other securities in accordance with your terms and conditions for the undersigned's account and risk and in the undersigned's name, or number on your books. The undersigned hereby agrees to indemnify and hold you harmless from, and to pay you promptly on demand any and all losses arising therefrom or debit balance due thereon. However, in no event will the losses exceed my investment.

In all such purchases, sales or trades you are authorized to follow the instructions of Bernard L. Madoff in every respect concerning the undersigned's account with you; and he is authorized to act for the undersigned and in the undersigned's behalf in the same manner and with the same force and effect as the undersigned might or could do with respect to such purchases, sales or trades as well as with respect to all other things necessary or incidental to the furtherance or conduct of such purchases, sales or trades. All purchases, sales or trades shall be executed strictly in accordance with the client's trading authorization directive.

The undersigned hereby ratifies and confirms any and all transactions with you heretofore or hereafter made by the aforesaid agent or for the undersigned's account.

This authorization and indemnity is in addition to (and in no way limits or restricts) any rights which you may have under any other agreement or agreements between the undersigned and your firm.

This authorization and indemnity is also a continuing one and shall remain in full force and effect until revoked by the undersigned by a written notice addressed to you and delivered to your office at 885 Third Avenue but such revocation shall not affect any liability in any way resulting from transaction initiated prior to such revocation. This authorization and indemnity shall enure to the benefit of your present firm and any successor firm or firms irrespective of any change or changes at any time in the personnel thereof for any cause whatsoever, and of the assigns of your present firm or any successor firm.

Dated, IN SIOZ

(City)

Very truly yours, Ascot Partners, L.P.
(Client Signature)

Signature of Authorized Agent:

Affiliated with:
Madoff Securities International Limited
12 Berkeley Street, Mayfair, London W1J 8DT. Tel 020-7493 6222



# TRADING AUTHORIZATION DIRECTIVE

Bernard L. Madoff, acting as the undersigned's agent and attorney-in-fact, has not been granted, nor shall he exercise, any investment discretion as to the selection of securities or other property purchased or sold by or for the undersigned's account, except with respect to the timing and size of transactions and to the extent set forth below. The purchase or sale of securities shall further be limited as to issuer, contract and quantity, and shall include only executions that are in accordance with the following parameters:

With respect to the establishment of a position:

- The undersigned's account may purchase a portfolio consisting only of the types of instruments mentioned herein.
- Purchases of equities must be purchases of no less than thirty-five (35) U.S. equities, all of which must be resident within the Standard and Poors 100 Index at the time of execution.
- The sum total of the combined market capitalization of the equities purchased must, at the time of completion, be in excess of seventyfive percent (75%) of the total market capitalization, as measured by Standard and Poors, of the entire Standard and Poors 100 Index components.
- The resulting portfolio, when measured against the Standard and Poors 100 Index, shall at the time or execution completion reflect an overall correlation in excess of ninety-five percent (95%).
- Each equity security holding within the portfolio shall be dollar weighted proportionately to the market capitalization of that particular issue in the Standard and Poors 100 Index at the time of execution.
- Contemporaneously with the purchase of the equity securities, the undersigned's account shall purchase Standard and Poors 100 Index Put Options. The underlying value of these contracts shall correspond to the market value of equities in the portfolio at the time of purchase.

CONFIDENTIAL GCC-P 0366167



- The strike price of these put options shall not be greater than three percent (3%) below the prevailing Standard and Poors 100 Index at the time of purchase.
- Upon establishing the equities and Standard and Poors 100 Index Put Option positions as outlined above, the undersigned's account shall sell Standard and Poors 100 Index Call Options. The underlying value of these contracts shall not exceed the market value of the equities in the portfolio at the time of purchase. There is no restriction on the strike price of the Standard and Poors 100 Index Call Options to be sold.

# With respect to the liquidation of a position:

- Upon the sale of equity securities in the undersigned's account, a
  contemporaneous repurchase of Standard and Poors 100 Index
  Call Options shall be made. If less than all the equity securities in
  the undersigned's account are sold at one time, the number of
  Standard and Poors 100 Index Call Options contemporaneously
  repurchased shall be proportionately reduced to reflect the value of
  the equity securities retained in the undersigned's account.
- The Standard and Poors 100 Index Put Options previously purchased shall be sold only after (and then only to the extent that) the equity securities in the undersigned's account have been liquidated and the Standard and Poors 100 Index Call Options repurchased as set forth above in the immediately preceding bullet.
- Whenever the undersigned's account has completed the execution
  of the above-outlined investment strategy, the undersigned's
  account shall purchase U.S. Treasury Bills. The U.S. Treasury Bills
  may be held until the undersigned's account repeats the execution
  of the investment strategy outlined above. The maturities of said
  U.S. Treasury Bills shall not exceed nine (9) months.

Agreed by:

Remard | Madoff Authorized Agen

# Exhibit 39

B. L. Manoff May 23, 1995

360 brokerage Chienter

80 of Mann MASDAD

GROW doing top 100 MASDAD memore
of Lean of the regulations

baskets have more from 15 to 21 to 35.

Wholesole ognation doing \$2006 a year.

35,000 trades a day.

computer cost \$241

back out 10% of MYSE trading vol.

25 trades in Avec

in Queen

10 montans

# Exhibit 40

# GABRIEL CAPITAL GROUP

450 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10022
TELEPHONE 212 838-7200
FACSIMILE 212 838-9603

June 2001

Tel cell with B. L. Maduff: prison dérise qui descorail in our strategies. He thought the The work lines ( not the first time we talke about His but though the size of must LEAK white Who to small for the volume he tredia. We trived to the possibility him working on a LEARs program would surrously consider one or two smaller excords thought that volatility would be

# Exhibit 41

450 Park Avenue New York, NY 10022 TELEPHONE 212 838-7200 FACSIMILE 212 838-9603

Bunard L. Ment 1-20-03

Sering or much volatility as he could goodly booth. Homentum is much contraded, however.

In 2002, one or two (Next, July) hig tided where mucconfully anythe. This year, winder to with head to catch a stratum reserve to where. Get in and get out:
I ranically. His maker it more profitable for BLH, who picks up comission on the turnarounds. On this Mismess down from 3 7. If you. This Mande Nise of turnarounds went up.

been close to Mut for five mos Swear the program. Swear

# Exhibit 42

# GABRIEL CAPITAL GROUP

450 Park Avenue New York, NY 10022 TELEPHONE 212 838-7200 FACSIMILE 212 838-9603 cell with B.L. Mahf leterminy to treding directive, and metal bends in Which Hoter how been termelized withing. This has formed hesis Swed stretyy. liturs At the end we reviewd by fourthers executions to We books, just to water sure. The oak 14th Xnotimum tick CIIO ( vojeth, Which Was reessing.